Med. J. Giza. 38, No. 2, 271-282 (1990)

VALIDITY OF SPA IN QUANTAL ASSAY OF SERUM SAMPLES

BY

EL-SANOUSI*; G.F. EL-BAGOURY**; A.M. EL-GED**, A.A. KHALED**, A. SAMI*; M.M.FATHIA, M.S. SABER*

Fac. Vet. Med. Cairo Univ. ** Fac. Vet. Med Mou* shthor Zag. Univ.
* Animal Health Research Inst. Dokki.

(Received.29.3 .1990)

INTRODUCTION

Bovine viral diarrhea (BVD) in cattle was first described by Olafson et al. (1946). The disease has been found to be of economical importance specially in breeding programs. Although the serum neutralization test (SNT) was currently the serological test of choice for detection of BVD virus antibodies in bovine serum (Hafez and Frey, 1973; Tsvetkov et al., 1980; Seoket et al., 1985; Howard et al., 1986; Frey et al. 1987 and Dedsk et al., 1988), yet it has several undesirable features including the prolonged time it needs to complete the test, the requirements for cell culture expertise and use of aseptic devices for handling the samples and other reagents used.

Utilization of immunodiffusion (ID) test with cell culture antigens for detecting BVDV antibodies in cattle has been reported (Lohr and Reisshauer, 1981; Petkova et al., 1982 and Bolin et al., 1985). In spite of its specificity, the ID test has been found to be of relative lower sensitivity for detecting BVD antibodies if compared with the SNT.

For the above mentioned reasons, a need exists for a rapid accurate, economical and sensitive diagnostic test for detection of BVDV antibodied. The successful application of Staphylococcus aureus protein-A agglutination (SPA) test for detection of antibodied and antigen (S) of other viruses (Zalan and Wilson, 1976 and 1978; El-Sanousi, 1985 and Madboly et al., 1987) forced us to apply this test for determination of BVDV antibodies in field serum samples in comparative trials with the SNT and ID test.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Virus and cells: A reference cytopathic strain of BVD virus (Singer strain), was kindly supplied by Ames Iowa Laboratories, U.S.A. The virus has been propagated in primary bovine kidney (BK) cells till it reached an end titer of 10 TCID 50ul. Primary bovine kidney (BK) cells were prepared according to the procedures adopted by crust et al. (1979) using kidneys obtained from freshly slaughtered calf. The cultured cells have been grown in Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium (MEM) supplied with 10% newborn calf serum (NCS) and 0.5% Lactalbumin Hydrolysate (LAH).

Sera and antisera: 76 bovine serum samples have been collected aseptically as possible from different farms, heat inactivated at 56°C for 30 min. and stored at - 20°C until used. These serum samples were tested for the presence of antibodies to BVD virus in the SNT, SPA and ID tests. A reference bovine anti-BVD hyperimmune serum was gifted to us from same National Veterinary Laboratories, Ames Iowa, U.S.A., with a reference negative control bovine serum, screened to be free from anti-BVD antibodies.

Serum nutetralization test: The micro method of SNT described by Frey and Liess (1971), using the 96-well flat bottomed microtiter plate, has been followed in the present work (see El-Bagoury, 1990).

El-Sanousi, A.A. et al.

Staphylococcus aureus protein A (SPA) agglutination test: The SPA test was carried out according to the method described by El-Sanousi (1985) as modified later by Madboly et al. (1987), using the micro-plate SPA test. The SPA suspension has been prepared following the procedured described by Kessler (1975).

Immuno-diffusion (ID) test: The Agar Gel Precipitation Test (AGPT) was performed for surveying bovine sera for the presence of anti-BVD precipitating antibodies, using the technique adopted by Harkness et al. (1978). The precipitating antigen has been prepared from BVD-infected BK cells, where the cell monolayer was scraped from the glass surface 48 hours after infection using a rubber policemann. The scrapped cells have been pelleted down and resuspended in few mls of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (1/250 of the original volume), then exposed to 3 cycles of freezing and thawing. The prepared antigen was preserved at -20°C till used. The AGPT was carried out at 37°C in a humid chamber and presence of specific line of precipitation has been checked up at 24, 48 and 72 hours.

RESULTS

Because of its simplicity and rapidity, the SPA technique has been introduced in this work for detecting anti-BVD virus antibodies, and compared with the conventionally used SNT and ID tests. The validity of SPA test for surveying anti-BVD antibodies in collected serum samples has been proved when the 4 following categories of samples were tested: (see tables 1 to 5).

Group I (samples \$ 1 to 17), positive (+ve) with high SN antibody titers (32 to 64).

Group II (samples \$ 18 to 41), + ve with medium SN antibody titers (8 to 16).

.: Comparative determination of BVD-antibodies in field serum samples using different serol. 1 Table ogical techniques Group I of high positive titer by SNT (Over 32) .

	Tarkerin.	and the last	Serological		l test
al No.	Code No.	Species	ÎNT	A GPT	SPA
12 P	16 26	Cattle Cattle	64 64	percola (75 - 4) ev.=ef.	128
3	30 47	cattle	64 64	31 - 12	128 32
4 5	53	cattle	64		64
6	29	cattle	32	ing 2 76 . Mga Mga	128
7	42	cattle	32	a't = Na Mais hei	64 32
8	48	cattle	32 32		16
9	⁷ 51	cattle	32		32
10	61 74	cattle	32		64
11 12	78	cattle	32		32
13	83	cattle	32) -	64 64
14	91 /	cattle	32		32
15	104	cattle	32		32
16	115	osttle	32		16
17	144	cattle	32		

S.N.T : Serum neutralization test

AGPT : Agar gel precipitation test

Staphylococcus protein A-agglutination SPA

able 2 : Group II of moderate titer (8-16) .

8010				A 14 A 16		
erial No. Code	2010	Species _	Serological test			
	Code No.		INE	A GPT	SPA	
18	10	Cattle	16		64	
19	49	Cattle	16	-	32	
20	56	cattle	16		32	
21	96	cattle	16		64	
22	103	cattle	116	-	7 32	
23	110	cattle	16	_	8	7
24	129	buffalo	16	_	64	
25	167	buffalo	16		16	
26	183	buffalo	16	_	64	
27	188	buffalo	16	_	64	
28	206	sheep	16		128	
29	156	sheep	16		16	
30	100	cattle	5 8 -		a my	
31	116	cattle	108		128	
32	123	cattle	8		64	
33	133	cattle	8.		32	,
34	142	cattle		3	16	
35	168	buffalo	8	-	8	
36	169	buffalo	8	-	32	
37	178	buffalo	8	1	16	
38	184	buffalo	8		32	
39	200		8			
. 40	209	buffalo	8		16	-
41	215	sheep	8		32	
1 1 1 1 1		sheep	8		16	
		T. BL.			64	

Table 3 : Group III of low titer (4)

Serial No.	Code No.	Species _	Serol	Serological		
47 1			SNT	A GPT		
42	9	cattle	4.		8	
43	18	cattle	4		16	
44	28	cattle	4	1 =	4	
45	67	buffalo	-401	1 -	338	
46	97	buffalo	4	7.7	ξ. · 4	
47	134	sheep	4		16.	
:48	145	sheep	431	-	4	
49	160	sheep	481		8	
50	199	sheep	4	-	4	
51	202	sheep	- 4 01	•	04	
52	207	sheep	411		16	
53	222	sheep	4	-	32	

pole 4 : Group IV "toxic sera"

erial No.	Code No.	Species	Serological test		
			SNT	A CIPT	SPA
54	146	Cattle	Toxio		en e
55	24	Cattle	Toxic		4 1,5
56	39	Cattle	Toxic	tan Lui	16
57	45	Cattle	Toxic	ar Fire	e Tri
58	52	Cattle	Toxic	117 42	nea 2013. Successful
59	57	Sheep	Toxic	257	of Time
. 60	157	Sheep	Toxic	v. 7v.≟7v.	8
61	62	Sheep	Toxic	-	ur Ænde
62	219	Sheep	Toxic		97 1871
63	77	Sheep	Toxic		Ala Feda
64	101	Sheep	Toxic	en in No. Parto No.	arias el Lory vigo:
65 .	130	Sheep	Toxic	Carlo	-j 4 - 3 - 3
			The second second	1 2 2	

Foun V SNT negative sera"

Absorbed to	Code No.	TO THE STATE OF TH	Serological test		
Serial No.		Species	SNT	A GPT	SPA
66	37	Cattle	negative	go i la	971-187
67	35	Cattle	negative		16
68	75	Cattle	negative		an 4 0 i an ada
69	41	Cattle	negative		a a Tieu
70	4	buffalo	negative	-	
71	76	buffalo	negative		350 Tica
72	210	buffalo	negative	(d) - 4	8
73	94	sheep	negative		49 5 24
74	21	sheep	negative	ç` (5. ;-	ek. 63 - 1.95
75	186	sheep	negative	-	11.
76	159	sheep	negative	-	

Group III (samples \$ 42 to 53), + ve with low SN ant. ibody titer (4). ibody titer (4).

Group IV (sample \$ 54 to 65), including only cytoto. xic serum samples. xic serum samples.

Group V (samples \$ 66 to 76), negative (-ve) without anti-BVD SN antibodies. anti-BVD SN anti-B can notice the total negativity given by the AGPT when used for screening specific anti-BVD antibodies. Unlike, the SPA showing in this communication a clear positivity with end titers ranging from 16 to 128 (for the groups I and II), 8 to 128 (for group III), 4 to 32 (for group IV). It could also be observed from the group IV (Table 4), that 5 out of 12 cytotoxic serum samples giving a noticeable end titers in the SPA test which ranged from 4 to 16. Again, out of 12 serum samples, which were negative in the SNT, only 4 samples showed positive reactivity in the SPA test with end titers ranged from 4 to 16.

DISCUSSION

Currently, the SNT is the serological test of choice for detection of anti-BVD virus antibodies in bovine sera. Although this test is accurate for diagnosis, it has several undesirable features, including the length of time required to complete the test, requirements for cell culture expertise and the need of aseptic devices during performance of the technique. The data reported by other investigators showed in most cases the superiority of the SNT over most of the other serological assays as an indicator of imminity to BVD virus (Robinson, 1970).

For the detection of BVD virus antibodies in the sera collected from field animals, we introduced the SPA test as a simple technique together with the SNT and AGPT for detection of such antibodies. From the figures presented in Tables 1 to 5, it could be concluded that the SPA-agglutination test is relatively

El-Sanousi, A.A. et al.

more sensitive than the SNT in detecting antibodies to BVD virus giving end titers that ranged from 16 to 128. Surprising is the capability of the SPA test as a binding assay to catch specific anti-BVD antibodies in field sera which have shown cytotoxicity in the SNT (see Table 4), which might be attributed to the fact that the SPA test is capable to screen neutralizing and other non-neutralizing antibodies because of the binging affinities of protein A to different types and classes of immunoglobulins (Schmidt and Klein, 1980; Grangeot-Keros et al., 1982; and Mayers and Klostergaard, 1983). The SPA test was also interesting in being capable of detecting anti-BVD antibodies is serum samples which were originally negative in the SNT (see Table 5).

Although the ID test was found by some workers as a specific, simple technique for the detection of antibodies, but it is of relatively lower sensitivity than the SNT as reported by Harkness et al., (1978). In the present work, the ID test showed itself nonsuitable and relatively non-sensitive for screening of antibodies to BVD virus in bovine sera; these findings have been shown to be in consistency with the data reported by Bolin et al. (1985), where he proved that the AGPT could give positive reactions only in cases when the SNT titers were 256 or higher.

Language Commany and bushing 76 bovine serum samples were collected from different farms and tested for the presence of antibodies to BVD virus. For this purpose, the SPA-agllutination test has been introduced as a new approach in comparison with the serum neutralization test (SNT) and the immunodiffusion (ID) technique. Therefore, the samples have been categorized in four groups according to their titers in the SNI: lst group included positive sera with high antibody titer (32 to 64); 2nd group included positive sera with medium antibody titer (8 to 16); 3rd group contained cytotoxic samples and the 4th group comprised all negative samples. The obtained

data could prove that the SPA test as a binding assay is relatively more sensitive than the SNT in detecting antibodies to BBVD virus giving end titers ranged from 16 to 128. The SPA test could also detect anti-BVD antibodies in cytotoxic serum samples.

Although the ID test is a simple technique for detection of antibodies yet it was of relative lower sensitivity than the SNT and SPA-agglutination tests, and showed itself in this work non-suitable for screening of antibodies to BVD virus.

REFERENCES

- Bolin, S.R.; McClurkin, A.W. and Coria, M.F.(1985).
 Frequency of persistent BVD virus infection in selected cattle herds. Am. J. Vet. Res. 46 (11): 2385-2387.
- Crust, N.R.; Eheanor, S.B.; Follett, E.H.K. and Marquardt, G.E.D. (1979): Diagnostic methods in clinical virology, 3rd Ed., Blackwell Scientific Publication, pp. 164.
- 3. Dedsk, J.; Loepelmann, H.; Kokless, R.; Kretzschmar, C.; Muller, M. and Bergmann, H. (1988): Serological studies of red deer, roe deer, fallow deer and moufflon for antibodies against bovine diarrhoea virus/mucosal disease. Manatsh. fur Vet. 43 (2): 63-65.
- 4 . El-Sanousi, A.A. (1985): Die Verwandtschaft ausgewaelter Influenza Viren von Tier und Mensch. Inaugural-Dissertaion, tieraerztliche Hochschule, Hannover.
- 5. Frey, H.P. and Liess, B. (1971): Growth curve studies and applicability of a highly cytopathic BVD MD virus strain for diagnostic purposes using microtiter method. Zbl. Vet. med. B. 18: 61-71.

- 6 Frey, H.R.; Onken, H.H.; Liess, B.; Orban, S.;
 Timms, D.; Marquardt, W. and Fiedler, H.H. (1987):
 Bovine diarrhoea pestivirus as a cause of calf
 mortality in the Wesermarch region, German Federal
 Republic. Deutsche Tieraerztliche Wochens. 94: (3)
 162-165.
- 7. Grangeot-Keros, L.; Lebrun, l.; Briantais, M.J. and Pillot, J. (1982): A critical study of the use of Staphylococci containing protein A for separation of IgG and IgM antibodies. J. Immunol. Methods 51: 183-195.
- 8. Hafez, S.M. and Frey, H.R. (1973): Serological evidence for the occurence of bovine viral diarrhoea-mucosal disease (BVD-MD) and infectious bovine rhinotracheitis (IBR) in Egypt. Bull. Epizoot. Dis. Afr. 21 (1): 5-10.
- 9 . Harkness, J.W.; Sands, J.J. and Richards, M.S. (1978): Serological studies of mucosal disease virus in England and Wales. Res. Vet. Sci. 24: 98-103.
- 10. Howard, C.J.; Brownlie, J. and Thomas, L.H.(1986): Prevalence of bovine virus diarrhoea virus viraemia in cattle in UK. Vet. Rec. 119 (25/26): 628-629.
- 11. Kessler, S.W. (1975): Rapid isolation of antigens from cells with a staphylococcal protein A antibody adsorbent. Parameters of the interaction of antibodyantigen complex with protein-A. J. Immunol. Methods 115 (6): 1617-1624.
- 12. Lohr, V.J.E. and Reisshauer, G. (1981): Concentration of BVD/MD virus from the infected cattle for the AGPT. Berl. Munch. Tieraerztl. Wschr. 94:
- 13. Mayers, G.L. and Klostergaard, J. (1983): The use of protein A in solid-phase binding assays: a comparison of four radioiodination techniques. J. Immunol. Methods 57: 235-246.

El-Sanousi, A.A. et al.

- 14. Olafson, P.; Maccallum, A.D. and Fox, F.H.(1964); An apparently new transmissible disease of cattle. Corn. Vet. 36: 205-213.
- 15. Petkova, K.; Tsvethov, P. and Gancheva, P.(1982): Attempts to produce a precipitating antigen of cell-cultured virus of the mucous disease-viral diarrhoea in cattle. Vet. Sci. XIX (2): 71-76.
- 16. Reed, L.J. and Muench, H. (1938): A simple method of estimating 50 percent end point. Am. J. Hyg. 27: 493-497.
- 17. Robinson, A.J. (1971): Serological evidence of bovine virus diarrhoea virus in cattle and sheep in the south Island of New Zealand. New Zealand Vet. J. 19 (9): 223-224.
- 18. Schmidt, W.A.K. and Klein, M. (1980): A new method for determination of virus specific LgG and IgM antibodies. Archives of Virology 66: 67-70.
- 19. Seok, H.B.; Seo, I.S. and Kwon, H.J. (1985): Serological survey on bovine viral diarrhoea of cattle in Korea. Acad. Press, 515-516.
- 20. Tsvetkov, P.; Petkova, K. and Bachiski, L.(1980): Serological study of the distribution of neutralizing antibody to the virus of mucosal disease-viral diarrhoea among cattle. Vet. Med. Nauki 17 (B): 52-56.
- 21. Zalan, E. and Wilson, C. (1976): A new method for rapid identification of influenza virus isolates. Canad. Med. Ass. J. 115: 102-1003.
- 22. Zalan, E. and Wilso (1978): Further studies on the Cowan strain of Staphylococcus aureus as an aid for the diagnosis of influenza. Archives of Virology 56: 177-180.