vet. Med. J. Giza 38, No. 1, 87-94 (1990).

SEROLOGICAL SURVEY ON THE PREVALENCE OF BOVINE HERPES 1 (BHV1) IN DOMESTIC ANIMALS IN EGYPT

BY

A.A. MOUSSA*, M.S. SABER**, E. NAFIE***
M.A. SHALABY**, N.N. AYOUB[®], S.EL-NAKSHALY[®]
A.Y. MOHSEN[®], H.M. MADBOULY[®] A.A.
EL-SANOUSI** FATHIA M.M.[®] A. SAMI**,
I. ALLAM[®], I.M. REDA**.

* General Veterinary Organization

** Fac. of Vet. Med. Cairo Univ.

*** Fac. Med. Assiut Univ.

@ Animal Health Research Institute Dokki, Egypt. @@ Serum and Vaccine Research Institute, Abbassia.

@@ Serum and vaccine Kesearch Institute, Abbassia @@ Fac. Vet. Med. Cairo Univ. Beni-Suef.

(Received: 11.2.1990).

INTRODUCTION

BHV1 is a viral disease of domestic animals which belongs to the Family Herpesviridae. It is characterized by various clinical manifestations including respiratory form (Miller, 1955 and McKercher et al., 1959), infectious pustular vulvovaginitis (IPV), encephalitis (French, 1962 a-b), conjunctivitis (McKercher et al., 1959), endometritis and infertitity (Bouters et al., 1959), enteritis (Wellman et lity (Bouters et al., 1964), enteritis (Wellman et lity (Bouters et al., 1964), enteritis in (1955) Affecand abortion in cattle (Jensen et al., 1955) Affecand abortion in cattle (Jensen et al., 1955) Affecand abortion in cattle (Jensen et al., 1955) and recurrent tion in goats (Mohanty et al., 1972) and recurrent tion in goats (Mohanty et al., 1972) and recurrent opthalmitis of horses (Jubb and Kennedy, 1970).

In Egypt, the virus was isolated for the first time from cattle suffering from a respiratory syndrom (Hafez et al., 1974), as well as from local cattle (cows) and buffaloes affected with the genital form (Mohsen et al., 1978).

وللنفط والتحقيم

Serological survey on the prevalence of bovine

This study aimed to explore the role and the degree of the spreading of the disease among Egyptian farm animals in ten nominated Governorates in upper and lower Egypt from 1986-1988.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Virus: IBR virus Colorado strain, kindly supplied by the Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratories. Ames, Iowa, USA was passaged 3 times in (MDBK) cell line.

Cell culture: MDBK cell line was obtained from Institute of Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research and Production, Abbassia. Monoloyer cell cultures were grown in Eagle's MEM supplemented with 10% NCS.

Sera: Serum samples have been collected from cattle, buffaloes, sheep, goats and camel from ten Governorates in Upper and Lower Egypt in sterile containers and heat inactivated at 56°C for 30 minutes before being tested.

Virus titration: IBR virus "Clorado strain" was titrated in MDBK cells using the microplate technique described by Darcel (1975). The attained virus titre reached $10^5/\text{ml}$ TCID₅₀

Neutralization test: The microneutralization test and its parameters were performed according to the method described by FADDL diagnostic lab. protocol 602. The test was carried out using MDBK cell and Colorado strain of IBR virus. Final serum dilution of 1:8 was used in this survey.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of the data given in **Table 1**, reveals that a total of 16651 serum samples were screended by against IBR virus colorado strain in a dilution of 1:8 during the period Oct. 1985- Sept. 1988. The

different species of domestic animals Sept. 1988	Came 1	T +ve % + P &
Table (1): Incidence percentage of BHV ₁ neutralizing antibody among different species of domestic animals in 10 Egyptian Governorates during the period Oct. 1985-Sept. 1988	Species Cattle Buffaloes Sheep Goat	1 +ve

FITH. T +ve	Species		Cattle		Buf	Buffaloes		S	Sheep		3	Goat			Camer	37.3	161 1637 165
31 23 17.6 37 11 29.7 241 48 20 65 30 46.2 8 0 17 309 27.7 584 78 13.4 1245 233 18.7 946 170 18 30 0 556 160 18.7 1044 192 18.4 888 178 20.1 862 205 23.8 37 9 340 136 14.5 1054 170 16.1 820 142 17.3 710 134 18.8 65 11 214 33 15.2 - - - 671 112 16.9 92 16 17.4 - - - - 671 112 16.9 92 16 17.4 - - - 671 112 16.9 92 16 17.4 - - - - - - 671 112	Govern.		+ve	2	н	+ve -	2		+ve	22	H	+ve	2	I	+ve	%	90
1117 309 27.7 584 78 13.4 1245 233 18.7 946 170 18 36 0 856 160 18.7 1044 192 18.4 888 178 20.1 862 205 23.8 37 9 41	5 1 3 4 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5		2.3	17.6	37	11	29.7	241	48	20	. 65	30	46.2	æ	0	0	22.7
Single 160 18.7 1044 192 18.4 888 178 20.1 862 205 23.8 37 9 Langle 136 14.5 1054 170 16.1 820 142 17.3 710 134 18.8 65 11 Langle 136 14.5 1054 170 16.1 820 142 17.3 710 134 18.8 65 11 Langle 136 14.5 1054 170 16.1 820 142 16.9 92 16 17.4 - - - Sue 629 135 12.4 198 16 8.1 19 0 0 7 1 14 - - - Lotal 5056 962 19 3678 568 15.4 4578 782 17.1 3168 616 19.4 171 23 11 Lotal 5056 962 19 3678 568 15.4 4578 782 17.1 3168 616 19.4 171 23 11 Lotal 5056 962 19 1054 15.4 4578 782 17.1 3168 616 19.4 171 23 11 Lotal 5056 962 19 3678 568 15.4 4578 782 17.1 3168 616 19.4 171 23 11 Lotal 5056 962 19 1054 15.4 4578 782 17.1 3168 616 19.4 171 23 11 Lotal 5056 962 19 1054 15.4 4578 782 17.1 3168 616 19.4 171 23 11 Lotal 5056 962 19 1056 19.4 171 23 11 Lotal 11 11 12 13 15 14 15 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	1.	131	309	27.7	584	78	13.4	1245 .	233	18.7	976	170	18	36	0	0	
Light High High High High High High High High	G I	856	160	18.7	1044	192	18.4	888	178	20.1	862	205	23.8	37	6 ;	24.3	
1 214 33 15.2 - - 671 112 16.9 92 16 17.4 - - Ild 513 28 4.3 358 25 6.9 113 8 7.1 75 5 6.6 13 3 Suef 629 135 12.4 198 16 8.1 19 0 7 1 14 - - Imm 477 99 20.8 275 38 13.8 32 4 12.5 19 8 42 3 0 uh 11 1 9.1 11 4 36.4 457 45 9.8 343 37 10.8 - - oth 11 9.1 11 4 36.4 4578 782 17.1 3168 616 19.4 171 23 1	ssiut	076	136		1054	170 ;	16.1	820	142	17.3	710	134	18.8	65	11.5	a YK	10.
513 28 4.3 358 25 6.9 113 8 7.1 75 5 6.6 13 3 629 135 12.4 198 16 8.1 19 0 7 1 14 - - - 477 99 20.8 275 38 13.8 32 4 12.5 49 8 42 3 0 168 38 22.6 117 34 29.1 74 12 12.2 49 10 30.4 9 0 11 1 9.1 1 4 36.4 457 45 9.8 343 37 10.8 - - - 1 5056 962 19 3678 568 15.4 4578 782 17.1 3168 616 19.4 171 23 1	11-Wadi	214	33	15.2	Cris	uja da	a av	671	.112	16.9	92	16	17.4		rans All Cana	ilos Ma	16.5
Sueff 629 135 12.4 198 16 8.1 19 0 0 7 1 14 - - Imm 477 99 20.8 275 38 13.8 32 4 12.5 19 8 42 3 0 uh 11 1 9.1 11 4 36.4 457 45 9.8 343 37 10.8 - otal 566 15.4 4578 782 17.1 3168 616 19.4 171 23	LI-Geald	513	28	4.3	A - 77	25	6.9	113	∞	7.1	75	5	9.9	13	m	23	9.6
477 99 20.8 275 38 13.8 32 4 12.5 19 8 42 3 0 168 38 22.6 117 34 29.1 74 12 12.2 49 10 30.4 9 0 11 1 9.1 11 4 36.4 457 45 9.8 343 37 10.8 - - 5056 962 19 3678 568 15.4 4578 782 17.1 3168 616 19.4 171 23	Beni Sue		135	12.4	-	16	8.1	19	0	0	7	1	14		90	11	10.7
168 38 22.6 117 34 29.1 74 12 12.2 49 10 30.4 9 0 1 11 1 9.1 11 4 36.4 457 45 9.8 343 37 10.8 - - 31 13 10	Fayoum	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	66		27	38	13.8	32	4		19	80	42	m .	0	0 (22.1
11 1 9.1 11 4 36.4 457 45 9.8 343 37 10.8 10	Giza	168	38			34	29.1	74	12	12.2	67	10	30.4	6	0	 O	1.12
5056 962 19 3678 568 15.4 4578 782 17.1 3168 616 19.4 171 23	Matruh	11		9.	1 11	7	36.4	457	45	9.8	343	37	10.8		A ye		7.01
	Total	<u> </u>	res.	10	1	Y 1.5	15.4	4578	782	17.1	3168	616	19.4	171	23	13.5	

A.A. Moussa et al.

incidence of positive reactors from all tested sera collected from different species of domestic animals collected from different species of domestic animals inten nominanted governorates reached 17.6%. This inten nominanted governorates reached among all result denotes that BHV1 is circulating among all tested domestic animal species in the mentioned governorates. It can be concluded that the virus is widly norates. It can be concluded that the virus is widly spread due to an active infection rather than vaccination as there is no vaccination programme policy against IBR/IPV except for vaccinated Friesian breed of cattle imported from European countries.

The comparative incidence of positive reactors among different animal species revealed that the highest percentages of positive reactors were reported for cattle and goats (about 19%) which significantly exceeded other species denoting the host specificity to these animal species. However, the role of goats in spread of the virus should be explored since it is known that they can naturally contract the disease.

The disease in cattle and buffaloes has been recorded in Egypt by Hafez and Frey (1973) who found in some farms suffering from pneumoenteritis in calves that the proportion of positive samples reached 68.7% in calves and 32.3% in buffalo calves. El-Debeigy (1975) indicated that younger calves were more susceptible to pneumoenteritis syndrome and that the disease occurred nearly with the same proportion all over the year in cattle, while there was seasonal variation in buffaloes. At any rate, proposal for carrying serological studies on the prevalence of IBR infection in certain farms harbouring cases of pneumoenteritis in is suggested at in breeding cattle and buffaloes, is suggested which may give a better idea of the disease. The data representing the effect of species, breed, sex fam. breed, sex, farm, age, season and locality are computerized in progress. erized in progress.

The presented data showed a lower incidence of IBR/
IPV antiboies in buffaloes than bovine sera; this
may be attributed to lower susceptibility of buffaloes

Serological survey on the prevalence of bovine

to infection or may be due to the fact that buffalo herds are not in high aggregates like that of cattle. The presented data also show a remarkable proportion of positive reactors for IBR among goats. The role of this animal species in exposure to the disease and its dissemination needs further investigations.

The role of camel in IBR infection is not clear, however, it is the first record showing the occurrence of BHV1, neutralizing antibodies in camel sera (13.5%) and further studies are required in the aspect of the epidemology of the disease in these animal species.

The distribution of \mathtt{BHV}_1 infection among the mentioned governorates as measured by SNT demonstrated that Aswan, Suhag, Fayoum and Giza Governorates had the highest rate of positive reactors to SNT against BHV1. The higher rate of infection in the first 2 governorates (22.7% & 21.2%) might be explained by the presence of these animals under stress factors such as higher temperature and humidity. These factors had only little effect on the survival of IBR virus since it is characterized by high stability condition . under adverse environmental This suggestion is evidenced by Sanger (1967) and El-Azhary and Derbyshire (1979) as they found that IBR virus was able to survive at least long enough for airborne infection at a temperature of 32°C and high relative humidity of 90%. The virus can withstand a temperature of 37°C for 10 days and at 22°C for 50 days (Griffen et al., 1958).

On the other hand, Fayoum and Giza Governorates show a correlated percentage of infection for this disease (22% & 21%) respectively. The high rate of infection in these governorates could be explained by the fact that both governorates are in close connection with that both governorates are in close connection with each others allowing free movement and exchange of animals between them beside the big animal marketing occurring in Giza. The lowest rate of infection

A.A. Moussa et al.

presented in Menia and Beni Suef Governorates (9.6% & 10.7%) respectively could be attributed to climatic and management conditions. Variation in the rate of infection among the different animal species among the nominated governorates is depending on the chance of exposure to IBR infection of these animals governed by environmental conditions, management and animal movement. The size problem of BHV1, among Egyptian domestic animal and its economical impact especially in pneumoenteric calves and in aborted animals should be investigated and evaluated before the decision of vaccination policy against disease is taken.

SUMMARY

A total of 16651 serum samples were collected over a period of 3 years from cattle (5056), buffaloes (3678), sheep (4578), goats (3168) and camel (171) representing ten Governorates in Upper and Lower Egypt. These serum samples were screened by serum neutralization test (SNT) in a final dilution of 1:8. The incidence of BHV1 positive serum reactors were 19, 15.4, 17, 19.4, 13.5% in the tested sera of cattle, buffaloes, sheep, goats and camel respectively. The percentages denote the prevalence of BHV1, infection since no policy of vaccination against BHV1 has been adopted.

REFERENCES

- Bouters, R.; M. Vandeplassche; A. Florent and J. Leunen (1964): Virus infectiosen steriliteit bij runderen. Vlaams. Diergenecsk Tijdschr., 33, 405-421.
- Darcel, C. Le. Q. (1975): Some factors influencing the microtest method for neutralizing antibodies to the virus of infectious bovine rhinotracheitis. Canad. Vet. J., 16 (2), 59-62.

- serological survey on the prevalence of bovine
- 3. El-Azhary, M.A.S.Y. and J.B. Derbyshire (1979): Effect of temperature, relative humidity and medium on the aerosol stability of infectious bovine rhinotracheitis virus. Canad. J. Comp. Med., 43 (2), 158-160.
- 4 . El-Debeigy A.I. (1975): MD. Thesis, Fac. of Vet.
- 5. French, E.L. (1962 a): A specific virus encephalitis in calves. Isolation and characterization of the causal agent. Aust. Vet. J., 36, 216-221.
- 6. French, E.L. (1962 b): Relationship between infectious bovine rhinotracheitis (IBR) virus and a virus isolated from calves with encephalitis. Aust. Vet. J., 38, 555-556.
- 7. Griffin, T.P.; M.V. Howells; R.A. Crandel and F.D. Maurer (1958): Stability of the virus of infectious bovine rhinotracheitis. Amer. J. Vet. Res., 19, 990-992.
- 8. Hafez, S.M., and Frey, H.R. (1973): Serological evidence for the occurance of BVD/MD and IBR in Egypt. Bull of epiz. Dis. -Afric, 21, 5-10.
- 9 . Hafez, S.M.; T.I. Baz; M. Zharan and Y.A. Ahmed (1974): In press J. Vet. Sci., UAE. Cited by: Mohsen, A.Y.; A. El-Debegy; S.A. Nakashy and Z. Mohamed (1980): Isolation and identification of infectious pustular vulvovaginitis from local cattle and buffaloes in Egypt. J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc., 38 (4), 45-53.
- 10. Jensen, R.; L.A. Griner; T.L. Chow and W.W. Brown (1955): Infectious bovine rhinotracheitis in feedlot cattle: I. pathology and symptoms. Proc. U.S. Livestock sanit. Assoc., 59, 189-199.

A.A. Moussa et al.

- 11. Jubb, K.V. and P.C. Kennedy (1970): Pathology of domestic animals. 2nd Ed., Academic Press, New York, London.
- 12. McKercher, O.J.; J.K. Saito; E.M. Wada and O. Straub (1959): Current status of the newer virus Straub of cattle. Proc. U.S. Livestock Sanit. disease of cattle. Proc. U.S. Livestock Sanit. Assoc., 62, 136-158.
- Miller, N.J. (1955): Infectious necrotic rhinotracheitis of cattle, J. Amer. Vet. Hed. Assoc., 126, 463-467.
- Mohanty, S.B.; M.G. Lillie; N.P. Corselius and J.D. Beck (1972): Natural infection with infectious bovine rhinotracheitis in goats. J. Amer. Vet. Med. Assoc., 160, 879-880.
- 15. Mohsen, A.Y.; A. Debegy; S.A. Nakashy and Z. Mohamed (1980): Isolation and identification of infectious pustular vulvovaginitis from local cattle and buffaloes in Egypt. J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc., 38, (4) 45-53.
- 16. Onstad, O. and F. Saxegaard (1967): Outbreaks of vaginitis and balanitis in swine. Clinical and pathological findings. Nord. Vet. Med., 19, 49-53.
- 17. Russell, W.O.; E.S. Wynne and G.S. Loquvam (1956): Studies on bovine ocular squamous carcinoma (cancer eye). I. pathological anatomy and historical review. Cancer, 9, 1-52.
- 18. Sanger, J.R. (1967): Influence of relative humidity on the survival of some airborn viruses. App. Microbiol. 15, 35-42.
- 19. Welleman, G.; J. Leunen; F. Lomba and M. Gouffaux (1974): Le tropisme digesif fu virus IBR. Ann. Med. Vet. 118, 175-184.