

BACTERIOLOGICAL STUDIES ON MYCOPLASMA INFECTION OF BOVINE GENITAL TRACT

EL-JAKEE, J; EL-MOSTAFA BARBAR; HEIDY ABO EL-YAZEED, and MAROUF, SA
Dept. of Microbiology, Faculty of Vet. Med., Cairo Uni., Giza, Egypt.

Received: 27. 2. 2008

Accepted: 10. 4. 2008

SUMMARY

A total of 70 vaginal swabs were collected from cows (forty five from cows suffering from congestion and purulent vaginal discharge, and 25 from apparently healthy cows). Also ten vaginal swabs were collected from buffaloes suffering from congestion and purulent vaginal discharge. The collected vaginal swabs were subjected to bacteriological examination for *Mycoplasma* pathogens and the recovered species were identified using PCR. Vaginal swabs from apparently healthy cows were negative for Mycoplasma isolation, while seven isolate were recovered from vaginal swabs of diseased cows with an incidence of 15.6 %. On the other hand, five isolates were recovered from the vaginal swabs of diseased buffaloes with an incidence of 50 %. All Mycoplasma isolates were identified by PCR using *M. bovis*, *M. bovigenitalium*, and *M. bovine* group 7 specific primers. No isolates reacted with *M. bovine* group 7, while 2 and 6 isolates had ampli-

fied fragments at 442 and 928 bp against *M. bovis*, *M. bovigenitalium*, respectively. The obtained data indicated that *M. bovis* was recovered from vaginal swabs of cows and buffaloes with an incidence of 2.2 % and 10 %, respectively, while the incidence of *M. bovigenitalium* was 11.1 % and 10 %, respectively. Ureaplasma could be identified from the collected samples of cows and buffaloes with an incidence of 2.2 % and 20 %, respectively. Furthermore, another Mycoplasma isolate (unidentified) was recovered from buffalo samples.

INTRODUCTION

DNA amplification techniques offer considerable promise for the identification of Mycoplasma. They avoid the antigenic cross-reactivity and variability that hinder serological methods, and they allow easier standardization between laboratories. As of today, arrays of primers specific to differ-

ent members of genus *Mycoplasma* have been proposed (Monnerat et al., 1999; Persson et al. 1999 and Schankster et al., 2002) Laboratory diagnosis of *Mycoplasma* species using conventional methods are usually difficult and time consuming. Therefore, other techniques such as PCR are generally preferred by the majority of laboratories (Thomas et al. 2004 and Bashiruddin, et al., 2005). PCR is rapid when compared to the traditional culture and serological techniques (typically taking less than 24 h. compared with up to 2 weeks for serological or culture based diagnosis) (Mcauliffe et al., 2003). This work was conducted to recover *Mycoplasma* pathogens responsible for genital tract of bovine and to elucidate the role of PCR in *Mycoplasma* species identification. In the present work both conventional bacteriological methods and PCR detection were used to detect bovine mycoplasmas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Samples:

A total of 70 vaginal swabs were collected from cows (forty five were collected from cows suffering from congestion of the vaginal mucous membrane and purulent vaginal discharge, and 25 from apparently healthy cows). Also ten vaginal swabs were collected from buffaloes suffering from congestion and purulent vaginal discharge. The collected swabs were directly inoculated into Stuart's transport medium (Difco) or HI Mycoplasma broth medium (Difco) and transported to the laboratory as soon as possible in an ice box

for bacteriological examination.

2. Isolation of *Mycoplasma* (Sabry and Ahmed, 1979):

A. Direct method: The collected swabs were spread on Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) Agar and modified Hay flick's agar media, incubated at 37°C with 5-10% CO₂ in a humid chamber for 10-14 days and examined microscopically by stereomicroscope every 2-3 days for the appearance of typical colonies.

B. Indirect method: The examined samples were inoculated into *Mycoplasma* broth (B₀). After 2-3 days of incubation, B₀ cultures were plated onto BHI Agar plates (P₁). At the same times they were transferred to broth (B₁). After another 3 days, B₁ cultures were spread onto BHI Agar plates (P₃). After 6 days, *Mycoplasma* broth cultures (B₀) were plated on agar (P₂). After other 3 days, B₁ cultures were plated on (P₄). Incubation was performed at 37°C with 5-10 % CO₂ for 2 weeks and examined by stereomicroscope every 2-3 days. Agar blocks from suspected *Mycoplasma* colonies (having characteristic fried egg appearance) were taken in BHI broth medium and incubated at 37°C for 2-3 days then subjected to purification and further identification.

3. Purification and maintenance of *Mycoplasma* isolates (Subcommittee on taxonomy of Mollicutes, 1979):

The broth culture was filtrated through 0.22µm

millipore membrane filter. The filtrate was plated onto BHI and modified Hayflick's agar plates. Single colony was selected and transferred to broth culture. This technique was repeated for three times. *Mycoplasma* culture was maintained by freezing at -20°C in 2 ml aliquots of actively growing broth culture and in a form of agar blocks in sterile screw capped vials.

4. Bacteriological identification of the isolates by:

A. Microscopical examination: The isolates were examined under a stereomicroscope or inverted microscope for detection the characteristic "Fried egg appearances" of *Mycoplasma* colonies".

B. Digitonin sensitivity (Freundt et al., 1973).

C. Glucose fermentation test (Enro and Stipkovits, 1973).

D. Arginine hydrolysis test (Frenske and Kenney, 1976).

E. Phosphatase activity in broth culture (Burger et al., 1967).

F. Urease activity (Shepared, 1976).

5. Identification of *Mycoplasma* species using Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) according to Sambrook et al. (1989) and Riffon et al., (2001):

5.1. Extraction of DNA from *Mycoplasma* isolates according to Sritharan and Barker (1991):

The pellets of *Mycoplasma* isolates were re-suspended in 200 μl Tris-EDTA buffer (pH 8.0) and heated block at 105°C for 25 minutes. They were left to cool at room temperature and centrifuged at 14,000 $\times\text{g}$ for 10 minutes, then transferred to a fresh tube with addition of double volume absolute ethanol and 0.1 volume 3M sodium acetate (pH 5.2.). The test tubes were kept a -20°C for overnight. The DNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 14,000 $\times\text{g}$ / minute for 20 minutes, followed by washing with 70 % ethanol and re-centrifugation at 14,000 $\times\text{g}$ / minute for 10 minutes. The pellet was dried and re-suspended in 20 μl sterile distilled water.

5.2. Estimation of purity and concentration of DNA:

The concentration and the purity of DNA that had been extracted were determined by estimation of the optical density at wave length of 260 and 280 nm using the spectrophotometer. The concentration was calculated as follows:

$$1 \text{ OD at } 260 \text{ nm} = 50 \mu\text{g/ml. The purity of DNA} \\ = \text{OD at } 260/\text{OD. at } 280 \text{ nm.}$$

The purity of DNA had a value of 1.8, where the optimum is between 1.8 - 2.

5.3. Running of PCR according to Riffon et al. (2001):

The amplified reaction was performed in 50 μl volumes in PCR tubes. The reaction mixture consisted of 5 μl (200ng) of extracted DNA template from bacterial culture, 5 μl 10x PCR buffer, 1 μl

dNTPs (40µM), 1 µl Ampli Taq DNA polymerase, 1 µl (50 pmol) from each primers pairs (Table 1) and the volume of the reaction mixture was completed to 50 µl using double distilled water. 40 µl paraffin oil was added and thermal cycler was adjusted as follows:

Initial denaturation: 95°C/1 minute

First cycle:

Denaturation----- 94°C/1 minute

Annealing -----as in Table (4).

Extension ----- 72°C/1 minute

The first cycle was repeated 35 times.

The final extension: 72°C/5 minute

The PCR products were stored in the thermal cycler at 4°C until they were collected.

5.4. Screening of PCR products by agarose gel electrophoresis according to Sambrook et al. (1989):

Two grams of agarose were added to 100ml Tris acetate EDTA (TAE) buffer. The agarose was autoclaved for 10 minutes and 0.5 µg/ml ethidium bromide was added and then left to cool; to room temperature. The gel tray was tapped and the worm agarose was poured in. The comb was inserted in the agarose which was left to polymerize. After hardening, the tray was untapped, the comb was removed and the gel was applied to electrophoresis cell. The cell was filled with TAE buffer. 10 µl of each of the PCR product sample were applied to the gel along with 5µL molecular weight marker after mixing each with 1 µL load-

Table (1): Shows oligonucleotide primers used for amplification of DNA recovered from *Mycoplasma* isolates:

Primer designation	Specificity	Length	Sequence (5'-3')	Amplified Product size (bp)	Annealing temperature	Reference
MBsf-MN	<i>M. bovis</i>	19	CCA GCT CAC CCT TAT ACA T	442	52 °C/1minute	(Pinnow et al., 2001)
MBsr-MN		19	TGATC ACC ATT TAG ACC G			
MBmf-MN-928	<i>M. bovisgenitalium</i>	18	ACC ATG GGA GCT GGT AAT	928	56°C/1 minute	Gene Bank # AY 780797
MBmr-MN-927		18	TAG TTC ACT ATC GGT GTC			

ing buffer on a piece of parafilm . Each mixture was applied to a slot using 10 μ L micropipette. The electrophoresis cell was covered and the power supply was adjusted at 10 volt / cm. the gel was taken out from the cell and examined under short wave UV transilluminator. The gel was photographed in order to obtain permanent record using digital camera (Acer CR-5130, China).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to record the occurrence of mycoplasmal pathogen in the genital tracts of cows and buffaloes and to test PCR application for species identification of *Mycoplasma*. The effect of mycoplasmas on the reproductive function of cattle has been reviewed by a number of authors (Kirkbride, 1987 and Eaglesonme et al., 1992). As shown in Tables (2 and 3), 7 and 5 isolates could be identified from vaginal swabs collected from diseased cows and buffaloes with an incidence of 15.6 % and 50 %, respectively. The *Mycoplasma* positive animals may be infected via the artificial insemination with infected semen. In this concern Nicholas and Ayling (2003) concluded that *Mycoplasma* contaminated frozen semen can remain infectious for years and probably represents an overlooked infection source.

Identification of *Mycoplasma* as the causative agents of disease is often hindered by the lack of rapid diagnostic tests together with similarities in

the clinical diseases that they cause. Conventional methods of diagnosis are based on culture and serological tests, e.g., the complement fixation test (Muthomi and Rurangirwa, 1983), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Ball and Finaly, 1998), and immunoblotting (Nicholas et al., 1996). These techniques can be time consuming, insensitive, and non-specific.

In the present investigation conventional methods of diagnosis of *Mycoplasma* were conducted. Firstly, the characteristic fried egg colony of *Mycoplasma* was recorded by all isolates as shown in Photo (1). The characteristic colony appeared as tiny, smooth circular, translucent masses with a dense raised central area (Quinn et al., 2002). Digitonin sensitivity of the isolates was carried out to differentiate between members of *Mycoplasmataceae* and *Acholeplasmataceae* (Photo. 2). All detected isolates were sensitive to digitonin and belonged to the family *Mycoplasmataceae* (Freundt, 1983). The detected isolates were cultured onto *Ureaplasma* medium to differentiate between *Ureaplasma* and *Mycoplasma*. Three isolates were grown on *Ureaplasma* medium, which represented *Ureaplasma* species (5.5%), meanwhile 9 isolates were negative for urease activity on the medium so they were belonging to *Mycoplasma* species. The data recorded in Table (2) indicated that *Ureaplasma* could be identified from vaginal swabs of cows and buffaloes 2.2 % and 20 %, respectively.

PCR can be expected to provide higher efficiency for practical laboratory diagnosis because of its rapidity, high sensitivity and specificity. PCR assays were developed for a number of animal mycoplasmas, e.g., *M. mycoides* subsp. *mycoides* SC (Dedieu et al., 1994 and Hotzel et al., 1996) and *M. capricolum* subsp. *capripneumoniae* (Bascunana et al., 1994). While detection of *M. bovis* by PCR from broth culture or DNA extracts can be carried out on a routine basis (Hotzel et al., 1996). *M. bovis* and *M. agalactiae* are phenotypically and genotypically closely related. As both species share an unusually high number of related antigens and common epitopes (Flitman-Tene et al., 1997), therefore, differentiation between them present some difficulties, particularly, when serological methods are involved. The similarity of certain metabolic pathways (Abu-Amero et al., 2000) also puts limitation on biochemical differentiation testes.

The use of species-specific PCR provides an alternative approach to identify *Mycoplasma* species, which overcomes the potential problems associated with conventional test methods. *M. bovis* and *M. bovis genitalium* have been associated with infertility and reproductive failure in cattle. Both mycoplasmas have been isolated from semen and are transmitted by natural breeding and by artificial insemination. As a preventive measure against this type of microbial contamination, antibiotics have been added to the commercial cryopreserved semen (Eaglesonme et al., 1992 and

Bousseau et al., 1998).

In the present study species-specific primers were used for detection of *M. bovis*, *M. bovis genitalium* and *M. bovine* group 7. Unfortunately no isolates reacted with primers specific to *M. bovine* group 7. While, as shown in Photos. (3 & 4), amplified DNA fragments at 442 and 928 bp were formed for *M. bovis* and *M. bovis genitalium*, respectively. The *M. bovis*-specific PCR developed by Ghadersoliman et al. (1997) provided a more rapid and sensitive method for the detection of *M. bovis* compared to conventional culture methods. Moreover, it was the first PCR method described for the detection of *M. bovis* from clinical material (Hay and Hirst, 2003). As shown in Table (3) *M. bovis* and *M. bovis genitalium* were detected in vaginal swabs of diseased cows (2.2 % and 11.1 %) and buffaloes (10 % each), respectively. Bielanski et al. (2000) recognized that *M. bovis* and *M. bovis genitalium* have the ability to colonize in the reproductive tract and to produce severe salpingo-orchitis. Sprecher et al. (1999) recorded the presence of *Hemophilus somnus*, *Mycoplasma bovis genitalium*, *Arcanobacterium pyogenes* and *Ureaplasma diversum* in seminal cultures.

The male genital tract can become infected with *M. bovis* through contact with other animals, possibly, via a heavily contaminated environment (Nicolas and Ayling, 2003). Infection of the urethra by *M. bovis* leads to an ascending infection of the testes causing orchitis, vesiculitis

and decrease of semen quality and ultimately shedding in the semen (Krausel et al., 1989).

Table (2) Distribution of members of F. *Mycoplasmataceae* in collected vaginal swabs among the examined cows and buffaloes

Sources of isolates	Apparently healthy			Diseased			Total		
	No. of examined samples	No.	%	No. of examined samples	No.	%	No. of examined samples	No.	%
Buffaloes	-	-	-	10	5	50	10	5	0
Cows	25	0	0	45	7	15.6	70	7	0

No = Number of positive.

% = Percentage was calculated according to number of examined sample.




Photo. (1): Shows characteristic fried egg colony of *Mycoplasma* species.




Photo. (2): Shows digitonin sensitivity test results. Colonies 1, 2 and 3 were sensitive to digitonin (*Mycoplasma* and *Ureaplasma*), while colony 4 was resistant (*Acholeplasma*).

Table (3) Distribution of *Mycoplasma* and *Ureaplasma* species in vaginal swabs collected from the examined diseased cows and buffaloes

Source of isolates	No. of examined samples	No. of isolates	<i>M. bovis</i>		<i>M. Bovigenitalium</i>		<i>Ureaplasma</i>		Other <i>Mycoplasma</i> species	
			No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Cows	45	7	1	2.2	5	11.1	1	2.2	0	0
Buffaloes	10	5	1	10	1	10	2	20	1	10
total	55	12	2	3.6	6	10.9	3	5.5	1	1.8

No = Number of positive.

% = Percentage was calculated according to number of examined sample.





Photo. (3): Shows agarose gel electrophoresis showing amplification of 442 bp fragment of *M. bovis*

Lane (1): DNA ladder

Lane (2): Reference strain (control +ve)

Lanes (3&4): *M. bovis* (samples)

Lane (5): *M. bovigentalium* (control -ve)



-928bp

Photo. (4): Shows agarose gel electrophoresis showing amplification of 928 bp fragment of *M. bovis genitalium*

- Lane (1): DNA ladder
- Lane (2): Reference strain (control +ve)
- Lanes (3-8): *M. bovis genitalium* (samples)
- Lane (9): *M. bovis* (control -ve)

REFERENCES

- Abu-Amero, K.K.; Abu-Groun, E.A.M.; Halablab, M.A. and Miles, R.J. (2000): Kinetics and distribution of alcohol oxidizing activity in *Acholeplasma* and *Mycoplasma* species. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 183:147-151.
- Ball, H.J. and Finlay, D. (1998): Diagnostic application of monoclonal antibodies (MAB)-based sandwich ELISA methods. *Mol. Biol.*, 104: 127-132.
- Bascunana, C.R.; Mattsson, J.G.; Bolske, G. and Johanson, K.E. (1994): Characterization of the 16S rRNA genes from *Mycoplasma* species strain F38 and development of an identification system based on PCR. *J. of Bacteriol.*, 176: 2577- 2586.
- Bashiruddin, J.B.; Fery, J.; Königsson, M.H.; Erik Johanson, K.; Hotzel, H.; Diller, R.; Desantis, P.; Botelho, A.; Ayling, D.R.; Nicholas, R.A.J.; Thia court, F. and Sachse, K. (2005): Evaluation of PCR systems for the identification and differentiation of *Mycoplasma agalactiae* and : A collaborative trial.
- Bielanski, A.; Devenish, J. and Phipps-Todd B. (2000): Effect of *Mycoplasma bovis* and *Mycoplasma bovis genitalium* in semen on fertilization and associated within vitro produced morula and blastocyst stage embryos. *Theriogenology*, 53: 213-223.
- Bousseau, S.; Brillard, J.P.; Marquant-le Guienne, B.; Gamus, A. and Lechat, M. (1998): Comparison of bacteriological qualities of various egg yolk sources and the in vitro fertilization potential of bovine semen frozen in egg yolk or lecithin based diluents. *Theriogenology*, 50: 700-706.
- Bürger, H.; Doss, M.; Mannheim, W. and Schuler, A. (1967): Studien zur biochemischen differenzierung von *Mycoplasmen*. *Z. Med. Mikrobiol. Immunol.*, 153: 138-
- Vet.Med.J..Giza.Vol.56,No.1(2008)

- Dedieu, I.; Mady, V. and Lefevre, P.C. (1994): Development of selective polymerase Chain Reaction assay for the detection of *Mycoplasma mycoides subsp. mycoides* SC. Vet. Microbiol., 42: 327-339.
- Eaglesonme, M.D.; Garcia, M.M. and Stewart, R.B. (1992): Microbial agents associated with bovine genital tract infections and semen. Part II. Vet. Bull., 62: 887-910.
- Enro, H. and Stipkovits, L. (1973): Bovine mycoplasmas. cultural and biochemical studies. Acta. Vet. Scand., 14: 450-463.
- Fitman-Tene, R.; Levisohn, S.; Rosenbusch, R.; Rapoport, E. and Yogev, D. (1997): Genetic variation among *Mycoplasma agalactiae* isolates detected by the variant surface lipoprotein gene of (vspA) *Mycoplasma bovis*. FEMS Microbiology Letters, 156: 123-128.
- Frenske, J.D. and Kenny, G.E. (1976): Role of Arginine deaminase in growth of *Mycoplasma hominis*. J. Bacteriol., 125, 501.
- Freundt, E.A. (1983): Culture media for classic *Mycoplasma*. In: Methods in Mycoplasmaology. Razin and Tully, New York, Vol. 1: pp. 127-135.
- Freundt, E.A.; Andrews, B.E.; Erno, H.; Kunze, M. and Black, F.T. (1973): The sensitivity of *Mycoplasmales* to sodium polyanethole sulphionate and digitonin. Zbl. Bakt. Hyg. 1. Abt. Orig. A., 225: 104-112.
- Ghadersohi, A.; Coelen, R.J. and Hirst, R.G. (1997): Development of specific DNA prob and PCR for the detection of *Mycoplasma bovis*. Vet. Microbiol., 56: 87-98.
- Hayman, B. and Hirst, R. (2003): Development of a semi-nested PCR for the improved detection of *Mycoplasma bovis* from bovine milk and mucosal samples. Vet. Microbiol. 91: 91-100.
- Hotzel, H.; Sachse, K. and Pftzner H. (1996): Rapid detection of *Mycoplasma bovis* in milk samples and nasal swabs using the polymerase chain reaction. J. Appl. Bact., 80: 505-510.
- Kirkbride, C.A.; (1987): *Mycoplasma, Ureaplasma* and *Acholeplasma* infections of bovine genitalia. Vet. Clin. North Am. Food Na. Pract., 3: 575-591.
- Krausel, S.; Bocklisch, H.; Pftzner, H.; Brys, A.; Leirer, R. and Ziegenhals, U. (1989): Experimentelle infektionen von bullen mit *M. bovis* and *M. bovis genitalium*. Veterinormedizin, 43: 705-712.
- Mcauliffe, L.; Ellis, R.J.; Ayling, R.D. and Nicholas, R.A.J. (2003): Differentiation of *Mycoplasma* species by 16S ribosomal DNA PCR and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis finger printing. J. Clin. Microbiol., 4844-4847.
- Monnerat, M.P.; Thiaucourt, F.; Nicolet, J. and Fery, J. (1999): Comparative analysis of LPPA locus in *Mycoplasma capricolum* sub species *capricolum* and *Mycoplasma capricolum* sub species *pneumonia*. Vet. Microbiol., 69: 157-172.
- Muthomi, E.K. and Rurangirwa, F.R. (1983): Passive haemagglutinate complement fixation as diagnostic tests for contagious Caprine pleuropneumonia caused by the F-38 strain of *Mycoplasma*. Res. Vet. Sci., 35: 1-6.
- Nicholas, R.A.J. and Ayling, R.D. (2003): *Mycoplasma bovis*: disease, diagnosis and control. Research in Vet. Science, 74: 105-112.
- Nicholas, R.A.J.; Santini, F.G.; Clark, K.M.; Palmer, M.A.; Santini, P.D. and Bashiruddin, J.B. (1996): A comparison of serological test of lung pathology for the detection of contagious bovine pleuropneumonia in two

دراسة بكتريولوجية عن عدوي الميكوبلازما في الجهاز التناسلي للماشية

تم جمع عدد ٧٠ عينة من الجهاز التناسلي للماشية (منهم ٥٥ عينة من ابقار بها التهابات وافرازات صديدية من الجهاز التناسلي و ٢٥ عينة من ابقار سليمة ظاهريا). كذلك تم جمع عدد ١٠ عينات من جاموس به التهابات وافرازات صديدية. تم فحص العينات بكتريولوجيا لعزل ميكروب الميكوبلازما وتم تصنيف العترات المعزولة باستخدام اختبار تفاعل البلمرة المتسلسل. العينات المأخوذة من الابقار السليمة كانت خالية من ميكروب الميكوبلازما بينما تم عزل سبع معزولات من الميكوبلازما من العينات المأخوذة من الابقار المريضة بنسبة ١٥,٦%. من ناحية اخرى تم عزل ٥ معزولات ميكوبلازما بنسبة ٥٠% من العينات المأخوذة من الجاموس المصابة. تم تصنيف عترات الميكوبلازما المعزولة باستخدام تفاعل البلمرة المتسلسل حيث صنفت عترتان *M. bovis* وعدد ٦ عترات *M. bovis genitalium* وعتره من الميكوبلازما لم يتم تصنيفها. كذلك اوضحت النتائج ان *M. bovis* تم عزلها بنسبة ٢,٢% و ١٠% من الابقار والجاموس علي الترتيب. وتم عزل *M. bovis genitalium* بنسبة ١١,١% و ١٠% من عينات الابقار والجاموس علي الترتيب، وفي كل العترات المعزولة لم يتم عزل *M. bovis group*. كذلك تم عزل اليوروبلازما بنسبة ٢,٢% و ٢٠% من عينات البقار والجاموس المفحوصة علي الترتيب.