

## DIGESTIBILITY OF FISH SILAGE AND FISH MEAL BY NILE TILAPIA (*OREOCHROMIS NILOTICUS*)

By

TALAAAT MOHAMOUD SHAHAT

National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Egypt, Cairo, 101 Kasr El - aney Street.

Received 16/11/93

### SUMMARY

Two digestibility trials were conducted. The first, to determine the digestibility coefficients of the local fish meal (low quality), fish meal (medium quality), fish silage made from fish processing waste and fish silage made from unusable fish for human consumption which were fed as a single ingredients for 5 days the apparent digestibility coefficient protein, lipid, calcium and phosphorus were higher for the fish silage (which was made from unusable fish for human consumption) followed by the other fish products. The second trial was to determine the digestibility coefficient of four diets, whereas, each diet contained one source of fish products. The higher values of digestibility coefficients were obtained from diet contained fish silage made from unusable fish for human consumption and fish silage made from fish processing waste. The present data also indicated that the nutritional value of fish silage was improved by storing the ingredients at  $-5^{\circ}\text{C}$  prior to insilling, and the diets made using these silages contained more intact protein and less free amino acids than diets made using conventional fish products.

### INTRODUCTION

Finding an economical way for converting the waste products of fish industry into acceptable protein supplements for fish feeding is very important.

Ensiling of fish wastes as a method of preservation was developed in Northern Europe

and has been used commercially since 1948 (Tatterson and Windsor, 1974). Fish silage is a liquid product made from whole fish, containing of whole fish and fish wastes, or fish waste alone. It is liquified by the action of endogenous enzymes in the presence of added mineral and / or organic acids such as sulfuric, phosphoric, formic or propionic acids.

Heating may or may not be used during the process, as heating facilitates fat recovery (Johnesen and Skrede, 1981) and inhibits lipolysis of triglycerides during storage (Tatterson and Windsor, 1974). In unheated silage, hydrolysis proceeds until the nitrogenous components become a mixture of short-chained peptides and monoenoic acids (Raa and Gildberg, 1976)

Fish silage can be dried either by adding a small percentage of other dry commodities or by co-drying the mixture in conventional fish meal drying equipment. This practice prevents foaming and facilitates drying by providing particles on which the silage can be absorbed (Hardy et al., 1984).

Diets based on fish silage have been successfully used for trout in Norway (Asgard, 1981; Rao and Absjorn, 1982) although no similar data appeared to exist for tilapia diets.

This study was therefore conducted to determine the nutrients digestibility coefficients of local commercial fish meal (Low quality), fish meal (medium quality), fish silage made from fish processing wastes and fish silage made from whole fish which is not usable for human



consumption. Also this study was conducted to determine the nutrients digestibility coefficients of diets containing the four fish products.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Experimental procedure

#### Trial I

Tilapia (average weight, 9.0 gm) were separated into 16 groups of 12 and placed in 16 glass aquaria (2.7 gm / L), each was supplied dechlorinated tap water. Each experimental diet was randomly assigned to two tank of fish. Eight groups fed pelleted food as local commercial fish meal (Low quality), fish meal (medium quality), fish silage (made from fish processing wastes) and fish silage (made from whole fish which not usable for human feeding) for 5 days.

#### Trial II

The other eight groups were fed for ten days on diets containing 28 % CP. Each diet contained one source of fish, the product mentioned above (table 2).

The fish were fed every day at a rate of 3 % of the live fish weight, the faeces were removed every day using a siphon tube and fine mesh (20 u) and

transferred to petridishes for drying. In addition the faeces were removed from the small intestine at the end of each feeding trial.

### Preparation of fish silage

The fish silage was prepared (after storing ingredients at - 5 C° prior to ensiling) by adding 1 % sulfuric acid and 0.75 % propionic acid (w/w) to fish processing wastes or whole fish not used for human feeding. The silage was stored in a covered plastic container at laboratory temperature and mixed periodically by hand with a paddle. After 30 days, batches of silage were neutralized with 1.6 % Ca (OH)<sub>2</sub> to PH 6.2-6.5, mixed with wheat bran 6 % and dried in oven at 80 C°. After drying, the material was ground.

### Chemical analysis

Determination of dry matter, crude protein, ether extract, Ca and P contents in feed and faeces were carried out using the procedures of Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1975) and presented in tables 1, 3 and 4. The concentration of chromium oxide was measured spectrophotometrically by the method of Furukawa and Tsukahara (1966).

Amino acid profiles of ingredients and faeces were obtained following their acid hydrolysis with 6 N HCl at 110 C° under nitrogen.

Table (1): Chemical composition of (FML), (FMM), (FSW) and (FSU).

Fish products	Dry matter %	Crude Protein %	Ether extract %	Ash %	Ca %	P %
Local commercial fishmeal (low quality)	92.0	21.87	12.38	42.70	3.20	1.54
Fishmeal (medium quality)	93.0	41.86	18.20	17.90	6.50	1.44
Fish silage (made from fish processing waste)	33.1	31.80	23.24	14.34	4.50	1.35
Fish silage (made from unusable fish for Human consumption).	38.7	40.6	29.02	15.57	5.10	1.40



## Fish silage & Fish Meal

Table (2): Composition of the experimental diets.

Raw materials	(FNL)	(FMM)	(FSW)	(FSU)
Yellow corn (8.12 % CP)	15	25	25	28
Wheat bran (8.01 % CP)	10	10	10	10
Soy bean meal (44.0 % CP)	30.5	30.5	30.5	30.5
Cotton seed meal (41.0 % CP)	20	20	20	20
Fish meal (low quality) (21.87 % CP)	20	--	--	--
Fish meal (medium quality) (41.86 % CP)	--	10	--	--
Fish silage (made from fish processing) (31.86 % CP)	--	--	15	--
Fish silage (made from unusable fish) (10.6 % CP)	--	--	--	7
Cotton seed oil	3	3	3	3
Vita. Min. remix.	1	1	1	1
Chronic oxide (Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
<b>Dry matter (%)</b>	<b>86.49</b>	<b>86.29</b>	<b>77.51</b>	<b>82.37</b>
<b>Crude protein (%)</b>	<b>28.01</b>	<b>28.64</b>	<b>28.81</b>	<b>27.54</b>
<b>Ether extract (%)</b>	<b>7.90</b>	<b>8.67</b>	<b>11.33</b>	<b>9.70</b>
<b>Ash (%)</b>	<b>13.73</b>	<b>7.31</b>	<b>7.51</b>	<b>6.71</b>
<b>Ca (%)</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>0.14</b>
<b>P (%)</b>	<b>0.56</b>	<b>0.58</b>	<b>0.57</b>	<b>0.59</b>
<b>Cross energy (Kcal / kg)</b>	<b>4418.76</b>	<b>4735</b>	<b>4870.96</b>	<b>4798.74</b>
<b>Chronic oxid (Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>0.08</b>

Table (3) Chemical composition of faeces of tilapia fed single fish products (Trial I).

Item		Dry matter %	Crude protein %	Ether extract %	Ash %	Ca %	P %
Fish meal (low quality)		31.58	1.9	5.34	62.85	1.5	0.6
	SE±	1.32	0.10	0.05	0.37	0.01	0.03
Fish meal (medium quality)		18.47	4.9	9.87	37.88	1.0	0.5
	SE±	0.28	0.09	0.06	0.29	0.02	0.04
Fish silage (made from fish processing waste)		11.78	3.0	15.05	37.36	0.7	0.4
	SE±	0.43	0.07	0.42	0.32	0.05	0.03
Fish silage (made from unusable fish)		10.74	2.2	10.61	20.18	0.8	0.4
	SE±	0.98	0.05	0.31	0.14	0.06	0.03

Table (4) Chemical composition of faeces of tilapia fed diets contained various fish products (trial II).

Items		Dry matter %	Crude protein %	Ether extract %	Ash %	Ca %	P %
Fish meal (low quality)		20.27	1.9	4.54	38.98	0.9	0.5
	SE±	1.63	0.12	0.09	0.59	0.07	0.04
Fish meal (medium quality)		17.26	2.5	4.75	16.48	0.7	0.5
	SE±	2.15	0.07	0.07	0.45	0.04	0.03
Fish silage (made from fish processing waste).		15.68	1.5	5.92	16.13	0.6	0.5
	SE±	1.66	0.04	0.04	0.55	0.05	0.03
Fish silage (made from unusable fish)		14.77	2.3	6.48	17.84	0.7	0.5
	SE±	1.76	0.06	0.05	0.42	0.06	0.03



Table (5) Essential amino acid content of fish products, faeces and digestibility coefficients of the same essential amino acids.

Essential Amino Acid	Amino acid content								Digestibility coefficient			
	(FML)		(FMM)		(FSW)		(FSU)		(FML)	(FMM)	(FSW)	(FSU)
	Feed	faeces	Feed	faeces	Feed	faeces	Feed	faeces				
Aminine	1.61	0.5	3.48	1.2	2.51	0.85	2.6	0.88	91.64	90.36	89.30	90.24
Methionine	0.5	0.19	1.93	0.73	0.37	0.15	0.53	0.19	97.24	89.43	87.28	89.66
Histidine	1.56	0.50	1.53	0.56	1.19	0.40	1.28	0.43	91.37	89.77	89.38	90.21
Phenylalanine	0.93	0.32	2.7	1.10	2.25	0.79	2.39	0.81	90.74	88.62	88.91	90.21
Isoleucine	1.06	0.34	3.01	1.10	1.5	0.61	2.21	0.75	91.36	89.79	87.16	90.21
Tryptophan	0.31	0.10	0.74	0.27	0.30	0.12	0.41	0.15	91.31	89.80	87.37	89.46
Leucine	1.86	0.45	4.83	1.25	0.38	0.77	4.12	0.65	93.49	92.77	93.73	92.45
Threonine	0.86	0.23	2.68	0.55	2.17	0.74	2.00	0.53	92.80	94.26	89.23	92.35
Lysine	1.73	0.46	4.9	1.05	4.08	0.88	4.23	1.08	92.84	94.01	93.19	92.60
Valine	1.16	0.26	3.38	0.83	2.24	0.53	2.69	0.68	93.96	93.14	92.53	92.71

chromatographic separation on an amino acid analyser. Tryptophan was measured separately according to Matheson (1974), Table (5).

At the end of each feeding trial, the apparent digestibility coefficients for the local fish meal (low quality), (FML), fish meal (medium quality), (FMM), fish silage (made from fish processing waste, (FSW), and fish silage made from whole unusable fish), (FSU) were determined using chromic oxide as an indicator.

The apparent digestibility coefficients were estimated using the formula suggested by Maynard and Loosli (1962):

$$\text{Digestibility coefficient (\%)} = 100 - \left[ 100 \left( \frac{I_i N_f}{I_f N_i} \right) \right]$$

Where:-

I = concentration of chromic oxide. I = ingesta.

N = concentration of nutrient (%DM) f = faeces.

Statistical analysis was adopted after Steel and Torrie (1980) using Complete Random Design. Duncan, test was also applied in an experiment whenever possible to test for differences, Duncan (1955).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table (6): Apparent digestibility coefficients of fish products which tilapia fed for 5 days.

Diets	Dry matter %	Crude protein %	Ether extract %	Ca %	P %
Fish meal (low quality)	90.76 <sup>bc</sup>	97.66 <sup>b</sup>	88.39 <sup>a</sup>	26.20 <sup>b</sup>	70.08 <sup>a</sup>
Fish meal (medium quality)	94.45 <sup>a</sup>	96.73 <sup>c</sup>	84.85 <sup>b</sup>	57.01 <sup>a</sup>	68.25 <sup>b</sup>
Fish silage (made from fish processing waste)	88.76 <sup>c</sup>	97.02 <sup>c</sup>	79.55 <sup>c</sup>	50.88 <sup>a</sup>	63.91 <sup>c</sup>
Fish silage (made from unusable fish)	91.99 <sup>b</sup>	98.44 <sup>a</sup>	89.45 <sup>a</sup>	54.75 <sup>a</sup>	71.15 <sup>a</sup>
SE <sub>x</sub>	1.19	0.38	2.23	7.12	1.59

SE<sub>x</sub>, standard error.

A, b, ... etc. means in same column with different superscripts are different (p < 0.05)



## Fish silage & Fish Meal

Table (7) illustrated the digestibility coefficients of whole fish meal (low quality), fish meal (medium quality), fish silage made from fish processing wastes and fish silage made from unusable fish for human consumption which fed as a single ingredient for 5 days. (Trial I).

The apparent digestibility coefficients for protein, Ca and P were higher for the fish silage than for the other fish products. This confirmed the results of Stone et al., (1989) who reported that fish protein was readily digested and absorbed from silage made from ingredient not stored at -5°C prior to ensiling, but was utilized more efficiently for growth than protein from fish meal, the reason of these data may be due to the nutritional value of fish silage which made from whole fish was improved by storing the ingredients at -5°C prior to ensiling as a results of Stone et al., (1989). Short-term storage of fish at temperatures slightly below freezing could be an alternative method for reducing the free amino acid content of fish silage rather than fish processing wastes. Although silage made from whole fish is somewhat more digestible than silage made from fish processing wastes, Hardy et al., (1984) found that neutralization of added lactic acid restored the weight gains of trout to fish meal control diet.

Table (7) illustrates the digestibility for four diets

(Trial II), whereas, each diet contained one source of fish products. The higher values of digestibility coefficients were obtained from diet contained fish silage made from unusable fish for human consumption or fish silage made from fish processing wastes. Several studies reported that the silage diets, however, were inferior to those containing intact protein (Yamada et al., 1981) or fish meal (Hardy et al., 1984) in supporting fish growth in part because they contained a higher proportion of essential amino acids in free form.

The present data indicated that as the nutritional value of fish silage was improved by storing the ingredients at -5°C prior to ensiling. Also, the diets made with these silages contained more intact protein and less free amino acids than diets made with conventional fish products. These diets may have been utilized more efficiently because of prolonged absorption time of peptides and free amino acids as digestion progressed in the gastrointestinal tract, resulting in better conversion of dietary protein to fish. It is clear from these results that the autolysis of fish silage should be restricted to preserve nutritional value.

It is clear from these results that the autolysis of fish silage should be restricted to preserve nutritional value.

Table (7): Digestibility coefficients of diets which contained various fish products

Diets	Dry matter %	Crude protein %	Ether extract %	Ca %	P %
Fish meal (low quality).	c 68.36	b 90.84	b 22.42	b 13.21	c 87.95
Fish meal (medium quality).	c 62.49	c 83.63	b 17.82	b 12.50	d 83.84
Fish silage (made from fish processing waste).	b 84.37	a 95.98	a 59.62	a 69.09	b 93.22
Fish silage (made from unusable fish).	a 92.45	a 96.48	a 71.87	a 78.95	a 96.43
SE±	6.94	2.99	13.44	17.77	2.79

SE, standard error.

A, b, etc. means in same column with different superscripts are different (P < 0.05)



## REFERENCES

- AOAC. (1975) Official Methods of Analysis of Association of Official Analytical chemists, twelfth edition. Washington, D. C.
- Asgard, T. (1981): Acid preservation could make offals a source of income. *Fish farmer*, 4 (2): 9-11.
- Duncan, D. B. (1955): Multiple range and multiple F-tests. *Biomet* 11:1.
- Furukawa, AA. and H. Tsukuhara (1966). On the acid digestion method for the determination of chromic oxide as an index substance in the study of digestibility of fish feed. *Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish*, 32: 502-504.
- Hardy, R. W.; K. D. Shearer and J. Spinelli (1984): The nutritional properties of co-dried fish silage in rainbow trout *Salmo gairdneri* dry diets. *Aqua*, 38: 35-44.
- Johnsen, F. and A. Skrede (1981): Evaluation of fish viscera silage as a feed resource. *Chemical characteristics*. *Acta Agric. Scand*, 31: 21-28.
- Matheson, N. A. (1974): The determination of tryptophan in purified protein and in feedstuffs. *Br. J. Nutr.*, 31: 393-401.
- Maynard, A. L. and K. J. Loosli (1962): *Animal Nutrition*. McGraw-Hill New York, 613 PP.
- Rea, J. and A. Gildberg (1976): Autolysis and proteolytic activity of cod viscera. *J. Food Technol.*, 11: 612-618.
- Rao, J. and G. Ashjorn (1982): Fish silage: a review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition. Appl.* 383-419.
- Steel, R. G. D. and J. H. Torrie (1980): *Principles and procedures of statistics*. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York.
- Stone, F. E., R. W. Hardy, K. D. Shearer and T. M. (1980): Utilization of fish silage by rainbow trout *Salmo gairdneri*. *Aquaculture*, 76: 109-118.
- Tatterson, I. N. and M. L., Windsor (1974): *Fish Silage*. *Sir. Food Agric.*, 25, 369-379.
- Yamada, S.; K. I. Simpson; Y. Tanaka and T. Katayama (1981): Plasma amino acid changes in rainbow trout *Salmo gairdneri* force fed casein and a corresponding amino acid mixture. *Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish.* 47: 1035-1040.