

SALMONELLA ENTERICA SEROVAR ENTERITIDIS IN POULTRY MEAT AND THEIR EPIDEMIOLOGY

F.A., SHALTOUT * and A.M. ABDEL-AZIZ **

* Food Control Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Moshtohor, Zagazig University, Benha Branch”

** Zoonoses Department , Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Moshtohor, Zagazig University, Benha Branch”

Received: 5-5-2004.

Accepted: 3-7-2004.

SUMMARY

Out of 100 samples taken from the surface of the skin of poultry carcasses in poultry slaughterhouses, 54 samples were positive to *Salmonella enterica* serovar enteritidis with a percentage of (54%). 100 fecal samples were taken from human stools of workers in contact with poultry in poultry slaughterhouses at Kalyobia Governorat, suffering from diarrhoea and/or fever. *Salmonella enterica* serovar enteritidis represented in 42 samples with percentage of (42%). Phage typing of isolated strains from poultry and poultry attendant demonstrated three strains 6, 21 and 28 having the possibility of cross infection between poultry men and poultry carcasses. Antimicrobial sensitivity test proved those *Salmonella enterica* isolated strains were sensitive to Ampicillin (10 µg), Amoxicillin (20 µg), Gentamycin (10 µg),

Kanamycin (30 µg), Nitrofurantoin (300 µg), and Cephalothin (30 µg) and medium resistant to Streptomycin (10 µg), and Tetracycline (30 µg). The public health significance of the isolated strains was discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Food of animal origin can be the vehicle for transmission of salmonellae to man, meat and meat products which may be contaminated by human excreta at any step in the chain of processing, meat handling from raw material to the preparation of meat and meat products (Fathi et al., 1994).

Salmonella enterica is the cause of the food-borne salmonellosis pandemic in humans, in part because it has the unique ability to contaminate

poultry meat (Jean Guard-Petter 2001). The incidence of Salmonella food poisoning in the United States in 1988 was estimated to be between 840,000 and 4 million (Tauxe, 1991).

Salmonella enterica can be divided into two broad groups on the basis of pathogenesis and infection biology. One group consists of a large number of serovars, including Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium and Salmonella enterica serovar enteritidis that can colonize the alimentary tract of food animals or cause gastrointestinal disease in a range of hosts including humans. The other group comprises a small number of serovars that cause systemic typhoid-like disease in a restricted range of host species, such as Salmonella serovar typhi in humans, Salmonella enterica serovar dublin in cattle, and Salmonella enterica serovars pullorum and gallinarum in poultry. Salmonella enterica serovar enteritidis localizes in the reproductive tract of chickens and as a consequence may be transmitted vertically to chicks by transovarian transmission of the bacteria into developing hatching eggs. The diseased poultry is an acute systemic disease that results in a high mortality rate in young chicks but rarely causes such severe clinical disease in adult birds, though it can result in loss of weight, decreased laying, diarrhea, and lesions and abnormalities of the reproductive tract (Snoyenbos, 1991). Therefore the present investigation was planned out to throw some light on Salmonella enterica serovar enteritidis contamination of poultry carcasses,

the workers and also antimicrobial sensitivity test of the isolated Salmonella strains.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Case material: The isolates of *Salmonella enterica* serovar enteritidis used in this study originated from swabs obtained from the surface of the skin of poultry carcasses samples at the slaughterhouses. Fecal samples were obtained from human stools of the workers in contact with poultry carcasses in poultry slaughterhouses at Kalyobia Governorat according to the methods recommended by (Sheila Polakoff et al., 1967); (Varnam & Evans 1991) and (Collins et al. (1995).

The collected samples were labeled and transferred to laboratory without delay in ice bag.

Bacterial culturing: The following bacteriological media were used: brilliant green agar (BBL), MacConkey agar (BBL) for direct plating of specimens, Selenite-F broth (BBL). Swabs were taken from the surface of the skin of the chicken carcasses.

Biochemical identification of isolates: was made on the basis of the following tests according to (McFadden, 1980): glucose metabolism negative; production of indole, Methyl red reaction positive (MR) and Voges proskaur test (VP), and do not utilize Citrate and H₂S production and hydrolysis of urea negative.

Phage typing: Phage typing was performed in accordance with the methods of Dutch Phage typing system described by (Pomeroy and Nagaraja, 1991) and (Wierup M. et al., 1995). Briefly, 4ml of double-strength nutrient broth (Difco) was inoculated with a single colony of *S. enterica* serotype enteritidis strains and incubated at 37°C for 1 h 15 min. By means of a sterile Pasteur pipette 2 ml of the broth culture was then used to flood a dried double-strength nutrient agar plate (30-ml volume of agar, dried for 1 h 30 min), and the excess broth was removed. After surface drying for 15 min, a series of typing phages were applied to the plate surface according to a defined template using a multipoint inoculator. Each plate was incubated overnight at 37°C, and the pattern of lysis produced by the phages was recorded and interpreted by comparison to standard charts.

Serological identification: The isolated proved biochemically to be *Salmonella* microorganism were subjected to serological identification according to Kauffman white scheme (Kauffman, 1974). Isolates were subcultured on nutrient slope for 24 hours at 37°C. For application of slide agglutination technique, two homogenous suspensions were made on a slide by suspending

a piece of suspected colony in a drop of sterile physiological saline. A drop of each separate O and H *Salmonellae* factors were added separately to each of the suspensions with standard loop and thoroughly mixed to bring the microorganism in close contact with the antisera. Positive agglutination occurred within a minute and could be easily seen with the naked eye. A delayed or partial agglutination was considered as negative or false result phage typing for serovar enteritidis strains were phage typed using the Dutch Phage typing system described by (Wierup et al., 1995) at Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Zagazig University Benha Branch.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing: The disk diffusion method recommended by Bauer et al., 1966 was used for susceptibility testing.

Eight drugs were routinely used to test Gram-negative enteric bacteria: Ampicillin (10µg), Amoxicillin (20µg), Gentamycin (10µg), Kanamycin (30µg), Nitrofurantoin (300µg), Streptomycin (10µg), Tetracycline (30µg), and Cephalothin (30µg),

The results were recorded in tables (1,2,3,4,5, & 6).

RESULTS

Table (1): The percentage of *Salmonella enterica* serovar enteritidis isolated from human stools of the workers:

| Total No. of examined samples | No. of individuals positive to <i>Salmonella enterica</i> of individual case | % |
|-------------------------------|--|-----|
| 100 | 42 | 42% |

Table (2): The percentage of *Salmonella enterica* serovar enteritidis isolated from poultry carcasses:

| Total No. of examined samples | No. of individuals positive to <i>Salmonella enterica</i> of individual case | % |
|-------------------------------|--|-----|
| 100 | 54 | 54% |

Table (3): The numbers and percentage of phage typable isolated from Human stools in the same locality of poultry:

| Human phage type | No. of isolates | % |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------|
| Phage type No.1 | 12 | 28.5% |
| Phage type No.4 | 6 | 14.2% |
| Phage type No.6 | 7 | 16.6% |
| Phage type No.2 | 9 | 21.4% |
| Phage type No.28 | 1 | 2.3% |
| Untypable strains | 7 | 16.6% |
| Total | 42 | 100% |

Table (4): The numbers and percentage of phage typable isolated from poultry meat:

| Human phage type | No. of isolates | % |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------|
| Phage type No.1 | 17 | 31.4% |
| Phage type No.4 | 10 | 18.5% |
| Phage type No.6 | 5 | 9.2% |
| Phage type No.2 | 11 | 20.3% |
| Phage type No.28 | 2 | 3.7% |
| Untypable strains | 9 | 16.6% |
| Total | 54 | 100% |

Table (5): phage typing isolated strains from poultry carcasses and human stools of workers:

| Source of samples | Isolates | Typeable strains | Phage produced Typeable strains | Untypeable strains | Possibility of cross infection between man and poultry Carcasses |
|-------------------|----------|------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Man | 42 | 35 | phage type No.1, 4, 6, 21and 28 | 7 | phage type No. 6, 21and 28 |
| Poultry carcasses | 54 | 45 | phage type No.1, 4, 6, 21and 28 | 9 | |

Table (6): Summarized results of antimicrobial sensitivity test of isolates:

| Antimicrobial agent | Disc potency | Inhibited zone | Results |
|---------------------|--------------|----------------|---------|
| Ampicillin | (10 µg) | 20 or less | S |
| Amoxicillin | (20 µg) | 19 or less | S |
| Gentamycin | (10 µg) | 12 or less | S |
| Kanamycin | (30 µg) | 13 or less | S |
| Nitrofurantoin | (300 µg) | 14 or less | S |
| Cephalothin | (10 µg) | 14 or less | S |
| Tetracycline | (30 µg) | 14 or less | R |
| Streptomycin | (30 µg) | 14 or less | R |

S= Sensitive

R= Resistant

DISCUSSION

100 Samples from poultry carcasses at the slaughter house and 100 fecal samples from human stools of the workers in contact with the poultry were examined for isolation and identification of *Salmonella enterica* serovar enteritidis by using, glucose metabolism negative; production of indole, Methyl red reaction positive (MR) and Vog-

es proskaur test (VP), and do not utilization of Citrate.

The result displayed in Table (1) revealed that out of 100 swabs collected from poultry carcasses, *Salmonella enterica* serovar enteritidis was Isolated from 54 (54%). The incidences recorded were agreed with (Humphrey 1999). *Salmonella enteritidis* was found in both poultry

meat and eggs (Hinton et al. 1990). Capita et al. (2003) could detect *Salmonella enteritidis* in 34.4 % of poultry carcasses in Spain.

The data recorded in Table (2) showed that out of 100 fecal samples obtained from human stools in the same locality of the poultry, only 42 (42%) contained *Salmonella enterica* serovar enteritidis. The incidences recorded were agreed with obtained by (Barrow and Duchet-Suchaux, 1997). In Denmark from 1991-1999, the number of patients suffered from salmonellosis was 26947 patients, while the number of deaths occurred between them was 838 in percentage of 3.1 % (Helms et al., 2003). *Salmonella* species could be detected in 5.6% of 606 faeces specimen taken from Patients with diarrhea aged 0-60 and living in the area of Fanon (Italy) (Baffone et al., 2001). In the Greek island of Crete during a 5 years period (1995-1999), *Salmonella* species could be detected in 6% stool samples obtained from human patients (Maraki et al., 2003).

From Table (3) it is evident that out of 42 *Salmonella enterica* serovar enteritidis isolated from human stools, 35 (83.3%) were typed by human set phage, while 7 (16.6%) untyped. The typable strains were phage type No. 1, 4, 6, 21 and 28 with the incidence of 12, 6, 7, 9, and 1, with percentage of 28.5%, 14.2%, 16.6%, 21.4% and 2.3% respectively

From Table (4) it is evident that out of 54 *Salmo-*

nella enterica serovar enteritidis isolated from poultry carcasses, 45 (83.3%) were typed by human set phage, while 9 (16.6%) untyped. The typable strains were phage type No. 1, 4, 6, 21 and 28 with the incidence of 17, 10, 5, 11, and 2, with percentage of 31.4%, 18.5%, 9.2%, 29.6% and 3.7% respectively. The incidences recorded in table 3, 4 were agreed with obtained by the (Saeed et al., 1999), and also the same results were recorded by (Pomeroy and Nagaraja, 1991).

From Table (5): out of 54 poultry carcasses isolates 45 were typed, 9 untyped. The possibility of cross infection between poultry and human in the same locality of poultry were demonstrated by 3 strains (6-21-28). It's worthy to mention that the number of the untypeable strains may be due to the use of the common ordinary human phage set only and not all human sets.

Phage-typing result nearly substantiates what had been observed by (Barrow and Lovell, 1991). From the results achieved, it can be concluded that cross contamination between poultry and the human in the same localities of poultry may occur by some strains of *Salmonella enterica* serovar enteritidis.

Table (6): Mention that antibiotics can influence carrier-states significantly. Antibiotic susceptible resident flora can be replaced by *Salmonella enterica* serovar enteritidis with multiple antibiotic resistances and in hospital environments, by epi-

demologically virulent strains. In veterinary hospitals antibiotics excreted in urine and feces may dry as droplet nuclei and be carried into the atmosphere by movement of patients or personnel. Also antibiotic resistant strains are met with in previously treated patients than untreated ones.

In conclusion, most ecological evidence warns that better control of antibiotics on an international scale is the key factor needed to reduce the Emergence of antibiotic-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serovar enteritidis, including their maintenance in carriers. It may be necessary to avoid such practices as prophylactic and broad-spectrum therapy, therapy without sensitivity testing, and dissemination of residual antibiotics into the environment of man and animals.

Antimicrobial sensitivity test proved those *Salmonella enterica* isolated strains were sensitive to Ampicillin (10 µg), Amoxicillin (20 µg), Gentamycin (10 µg), Kanamycin (30 µg), Nitrofurantoin (300 µg), and Cephalothin (30 µg) and medium resistant to Streptomycin (10 µg), and Tetracycline (30 µg) (Smith and Tucker 1975).

To avoid contamination of poultry carcasses with such pathogens,

Food handlers must be free from diseases which can be transmitted by foods, should have medical certificate and subjected to regular medical examination. Personal hygiene and good sanitation.

Proper examination of the poultry at the farm & at the slaughter house in both antimortem and post-mortem examinations. Application of good hygienic conditions at the slaughter house.

REFERENCES

- Baffone, N.; Giaschini, G.; Pianetti, A.; Brandi, G.; Casaroli, A. and Bruscollini, F. (2001): Detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and other pathogen with diarrheal disease. *Europ. J. Epidemiol.* 17 (1):97-99.
- Barrow, P. A., and Duchet-Suchaux, M. (1997): *Salmonella* carriage and the carrier state, p. 241-250. In *Salmonella and Salmonellosis '97 proceedings*. Zoopôle, Ploufragan, France.
- Barrow, P. A., and Lovell, M. A. (1991): Experimental infection of egg-laying hens with *Salmonella enteritidis* phage type. *Avian Pathol.* 42:194-199.
- Bauer, A. W.; Kirby, W. M. M.; Sherris, J. C. and Turck, M. (1966): Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disc method. *Am. J. Clin. Pathol.* 45:493-496
- Capita, R.; Ivarez, A. I.; Callega, A. C.; Moreno, B. and Fernandez, C. G. (2003): Occurrence of *Salmonellae* in retail chicken carcasses and their products in Spain. *Inter. J. Food Microbiol.* 81(2):169-173.
- Collins, C. H.; Lyne, P. M. and Grang, J. M. (1995): *Microbiological methods*. 7th Ed. Butterworth Nalin EMANN Ltd Oxford and London.
- Fathi, S.; El-Khateib, T.; Mostafa, S. and Hassanin, K. (1994): *Salmonella* and Enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in some locally manufactured meat products. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.* 31 (61):190-199.

- Helms, M.; Vastrup, P.; Gierner-Shmidt, P. and Ibak, M.K. (2003): Short and long term mortality associated with foodborne bacterial gastroenteritis infection :registry based study. *BMJ* 326(7385):357-367.
- Hinton, M.E.; Threlfall, J. and Rowe, B. (1990): The invasive potential of *Salmonella enteritidis* phage types for young chickens. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 10:237-239.
- Humphrey, T. J. (1999): Contamination of eggs and poultry meat with *Salmonella enterica* serovar *Enteritidis*, p. 183-192. Iowa State University Press, Ames.
- Jean Guard- Petter (2001): "The chicken, the egg and *Salmonella enteritidis*" *Environmental Microbiology*, Volume 3 Issue 7 Page 421.
- Maraki, S.; Geogiladakis, A.; Iselentis, Y. and Samonis, G. (2003): A 5 year study of the bacterial pathogens associated with acute diarrhea on the island of Crete, Greece and their resistance to antibiotics. *Europ. J. Epidemiol.* 18(1):85-90.
- McFadden J.F. (1980): *Biochemical tests for identification of medical bacteria*. The Williams and Wilkins Company Baltimore, U.S.A.
- Pomeroy, B. S., and Nagaraja, K. V. (1991): Fowl typhoid, p. 87-98. In B. W. Calnek (ed.), *Diseases of poultry*, 9th ed. Iowa State University Press, Ames.
- Saeed, A. M.; Thiaragarajan, D. and Asem, E. (1999): Mechanism of transovarian transmission of *Salmonella enterica* serovar *Enteritidis* in laying hens, p. 193-212. In A. M. Saeed, R. K. Gast, and P. G. Wall (ed.), *Salmonella enterica* serovar *Enteritidis* in humans and animal: epidemiology, pathogenesis and control. Iowa State University Press, Ames.
- Sheila Polakoff, I. D.G. Richards; Parker, M.T., and Lidwell, O.M. (1967): Nasal and skin samples from patients undergoing surgical operation. *J. Hyg. comb.* 65, 559-566.
- Smith, H. W., and Tucker, J. F. (1975): The effect of antibiotic therapy on the fecal excretion of *Salmonella* by experimentally infected chickens. *J. Hyg.* 75:275-292.
- Snoyenbos, G. H. (1991): Pullorum disease, p. 73-87. In B. W. Calnek (ed.), *Diseases of poultry*, 9th ed. Iowa State University Press, Ames.
- Tauxe, R.V. (1991): *Salmonella*: A postmodern pathogen. *J. Food Protect.* 54:563-568.
- Varnam, A.H. and Evans, M.G. (1991): *Food borne pathogens*. 3rd Ed. Wolfe Publishing LTD, England.
- Wierup M.; Engstrom B.; Engvall A. and Wahlstorm H. (1995): Control of *Salmonella enteritidis* in Sweden Review. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 25 (3): 219-26.