

ROLE OF ENTERIC PATHOGENS IN ENTERITIS IN LAMBS, GOAT KIDS AND CHILDREN AND THEIR ZONOTIC IMPORTANCE


M.I. EISA and * A.A. MOHAMED

Department of Animal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Egypt.

*Department of Zoonoses, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Egypt.

Received: 3-9-2003.

Accepted: 22-10-2003.



SUMMARY

This study was carried out to investigate the role of some enteric pathogens in enteritis in lambs, goat kids and children. For this purpose, 470 (310 diarrhoeic and 160 non-diarrhoeic) lambs and goat kids (aged from 1 to 45 days) of four herds in Sharkia and Dakahlyia provinces were investigated. In addition, 130 (80 diarrhoeic and 50 non-diarrhoeic) children (45 shepherds of four herds and 85 household children living with shepherds) were examined. The investigation included virological examination for Rota and Corona viruses, bacteriological examination for *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* and parasitological examination for *Cryptosporidium*.

The clinical signs of diseased animals and post-mortem findings of dead ones were recorded. The

morbidity and mortality rates were 65.9% and 13.5%, respectively. *Rota* virus was detected in 10.6% of diarrhoeic animals (11.6% of lambs and 9.2% of goat kids), whereas it was detected only in 1.9% of non-diarrhoeic animals (2.1% of lambs and 1.5% of goat kids). The rate of infection with *Corona* virus was 1.3% of diarrhoeic animals (1.6% of lambs and 0.8% of goat kids). On the other hands, *Corona* virus was not detected in any non-diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids. While in children, *Rota* virus was detected in 11.3% of diarrhoeic children (16% of shepherds and 9.1% of their households), whereas, it was detected only in 4% of non-diarrhoeic children. *Corona* virus was not detected in any examined children by using antiserum of bovine *Corona* viruses.

Enterotoxigenic *E.coli* (ETEC) was isolated from 29.5% and 21.7% of diarrhoeic lambs and goat

mals. The zoonotic importance of the recovered enteropathogens was discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Enteritis and diarrhea are important problems in young animals and children all over the world especially in developing countries. Despite the large number of enteropathogens identified in recent years, in many cases of enteritis the cause remains undertermined. *Rota viruses*; *Corona-viruses*, *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* and *Cryptosporidium* yet still are the most important causes of enteritis in lambs, goat kids and children as previously reported by several investigators (Kaminjolo et al., 1993; Kaminjolo and Adesiyun, 1994; Abou El-Hassan, 1996; Wasfy et al., 2000; Ali, 2001; and Causape et al., 2003). These enteropathogens cause direct and indirect economic losses due to its higher morbidity and mortality rates in young calves, lambs and goat kids. Furthermore, their significance public health hazards among human incontact with infected animals. As they are transmissible between animals and man (Acha and Szyfer, 1991 and Mahdi and Ali, 2002).

Rota viruses have been implicated in diarrhea of lambs, kids and children in about 20 to 60% of cases. Recent evidence has confirmed that *rota viruses* are not strictly species-specific. Moreover, human and animal *rota-viruses* are morphologically identical under electron microscopy. Experimental cross-infections with human and animal were

kids, respectively. Moreover, *Salmonella* was isolated from 15.3% and 10.8% of diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids, respectively. Regarding children, *E. coli* was isolated from 26.3% and 12% of diarrhoeic and non-diarrhoeic children respectively, while, *Salmonella* was isolated from 5% of diarrhoeic children only. The isolated pathogenic *E. coli* and *Salmonellae* serotypes were identified. In vitro sensitivity test showed that most *Salmonellae* (94.4%) and *E. coli* (87.5%) strains were highly sensitive to Enrofloxacin and Gentamicin. Treatment trials of the diseased animals with antibiotics according to the result of sensitivity test in addition to fluid therapy were done.

Parasitological examination revealed that *Cryptosporidium parvium* was detected in 15.2% of diarrhoeic animals (16.8% of lambs and 12.5% of goat kids), whereas, it detected only in 3.1% of non-diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids. On the other hand, *C. parvium* was detected in 5% of diarrhoeic children (8% of shepherds and 3.6% of their households). Moreover, *C. parvium* was detected in one faecal sample of an apparently healthy shepherd. Concurrent infections of *Rota viruses* with *E. coli* and/or cryptosporidia were found in diarrhoeic animals and children.

Finally, the obtained results indicate that higher occurrence and mixed infections of enteropathogens in diarrhoeic lambs, goat kids and children than non-diarrhoeic, suggesting their significance roles in the morbidity and mortality of these ani-

occurred. *Rota* viruses of human origin can be transmitted to suckling pigs, calves, lambs, dogs and newly born rhesus monkeys, causing either diarrheal disease or only infection (Nagy et al., 1989 and Munoz et al., 1996).

Corona virus known as a causative agent of diarrhea in newborn animals have been isolated from children stool (Simhon and Mata, 1985).

E.coli and *Salmonella* are traditionally enteric bacteria associated with enteritis and diarrhea. They are ubiquitous in nature and continue to be a major concern for animal and human health. *Enterotoxigenic E.coli* (ETEC) have been isolated from scouring lambs, goat kids and children (Cobeljic et al., 1989; Kain et al., 1991; and Abou -Zaid et al., 2000).

Cryptosporidium may produce a cholera-like diarrhea in both immuno-competent and immunodeficient man and animals. It exhibits little or no host specificity and may infect a variety of tissues. The infection is self-limiting, except in immunodeficient hosts, in which it may cause protracted and untreatable diarrhea (O'Donoghue, 1995). Zoonotic transmission of *Cryptosporidium* from calves, lambs and goat kids to their human caretakers have been previously reported (Nagy et al., 1989 and Selim 1995). *Cryptosporidium* is frequently occurs along with *Rota* virus, *Corona* virus, *E. coli* or *Salmonellae* (Mata et al., 1983 and Nagy et al., 1983). In such multiple infec-

tions, the enteropathogens may act synergistically to increase morbidity and mortality (Current, 1985).

On account of the importance of animal and human infection with these enteropathogens, this study was undertaken to determine the occurrence and the roles of the newly recognized and traditionally enteropathogens in enteritis and diarrhea in lambs, goat kids and children. Also the role of such animals as a reservoir for human infection was investigated.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animals : A total of 470 (310 diarrhoeic and 160 non-diarrhoeic) lambs and goat kids were investigated (Table 1). The examined animals aged from 1- 45 days and located in four herds in Sharkia and Dakahlyia Provinces during 2003. Both species in these herds were mainly reared under semi-intensive husbandry for meat production. The clinical examination of the diseased animals were carried out and the clinical signs were recorded.

Children : A total of 130 (80 diarrhoeic and 50 non-diarrhoeic) children were examined. These included 45 shepherds of the four sheep and goat herds and 85 house-hold children living with the shepherds (Table 1). The children ages were ranged from 2 to 10 years.

Table (1) : Number of examined animals and children.

| Source | Total examined number | Condition | |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | Diarrhoeic (diseased) | Non-diarrhoeic (Apparently healthy) |
| Animals: | | | |
| Lambs | 285 | 190 | 95 |
| Goat kids | 185 | 120 | 65 |
| Total | 470 | 310 | 160 |
| Children: | | | |
| Shepherds | 45 | 25 | 20 |
| House-holds | 85 | 55 | 30 |
| Total | 130 | 80 | 50 |

Samples: Faecal swabs and samples were collected from diarrhoeic(diseased) and non-diarrhoeic (apparently healthy) animals and children. The samples were subjected for virological, bacteriological and parasitological examination.

Swabs from intestine, were taken under aseptic condition from 18 recently dead animal (10 lambs and 8 goat kids) for bacteriological examination.

I. Virological examination :

Detection of Rota viruses: Animal or human faecal specimens were diluted 1 : 5 (20%) in phosphate-buffered saline solution (pH, 7.2) and were clarified by centrifugation 3000 r.p.m. for 10 minutes. The supernatants were taken, filtered and stored at -20°C until used. The supernatants were examined for the pres-

ence of Rota -viruses using commercial latex agglutination test (Slidex Rota kit I Biomérieux, Marcy-L'Etoile, France) according to Haikala et al. (1983) and Brandt et al. (1987).

Detection of Corona viruses:

Hyperimmune antiserum to sucrose density gradient -purified bovine - Corona virus kindly supplied by Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, Giza. Hyperimmune serum obtained from Dr. Linda Saif, Laboratory in the Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center Wooster, USA. Animal or human faecal samples were examined for the presence of Corona-viruses by haemagglutination (HA) and haemagglutination inhibition test (HI) according to Sato et al. (1983) and Sato et al. (1977) respectively .

II. Bacteriological examinations :

The collected animal and human faecal swabs as well as from recently dead animals were directly immersed into nutrient broth and tetrathionate broth and incubated for 18 – 24 hours at 37°C, then loopfuls from the growth were streaked on MacConkey agar, Eosine methylene blue (EMB) and Xylose-lysine desoxycholate agar (XLD) plates. All plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 -48 hours. The suspected colonies were picked, purified on trypticase soya agar and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours.

The purified colonies were identified on the bases of morphological, cultural and biochemical characters as previously described (Cruickshank et al., 1982 and Koneman et al., 1992).

Serological identification of Salmonella and *E.coli*:

Isolates that proved to be Salmonella were serologically typed according to Kauffmann-White Scheme (Kauffmann, 1972), using polyvalent and single factor sera of Salmonella O and H sera (Wellcome Reagents Limited, England). While, *E. coli* isolates were serotyped by the use of a commercial slide agglutination kit that contained pathogenic *E. coli* O-antisera (a set of polyvalent antisera, 8 vials and related monovalent sera, 43 vials) and toxigenic *E. coli* pilli antiserum. All were obtained from Denka, Seiken Co., Ltd, Japan. The serogroups of pathogenic *E. coli* isolated from animals were subcultured into Minica

polyvitalex agar for detection of *E. coli* K99 antigen .

Antibiotic sensitivity test was carried out on 34 (16 *E. coli* and 18 *Salmonella*) strains isolated from animals and children. In vitro sensitivity test was performed according to Cruickshank et al., (1982) using antibiotic discs (Oxoid) containing different concentrations.

III. Parasitological examination :-

Animal or human faecal samples were examined for the presence of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts using cover slip floatation with Sheather, sugar solution (Anderson, 1981). Smears were made from meniscus of the supernatant, air dried, fixed, and stained with Giemsa or modified Ziehl-Neelsen stains. The slide was examined under X 400. *Cryptosporidium* oocysts were then morphologically identified (Reese et al., 1982).

Treatment trials: The diseased animals were treated with antibiotic according to the result of sensitivity test (Gentamicin , 5 mg /Kg B.W. orally for 5 days, Schering-Plough Animal Health, USA.) and fluid therapy orally and intravenous.

RESULTS

The observed clinical signs of diseased lambs and goat kids were fever, diarrhea, deviation of head and neck and congestion of mucous membranes. The morbidity rate was 65.9% (310 out of 470).

Result of virological examination :-

Table (2) : Shows the occurrence of *Rota* virus in examined lambs and goat kids .

| Animals | Diarrhoeic (Diseased) | | | Non-diarrhoeic (Apparently healthy) | | |
|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------|------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| | No. of examined | No. of positive | % | No. of examined | No. of positive | % |
| Lambs | 190 | 22 | 11.6 | 95 | 2 | 2.1 |
| Goat kids | 120 | 11 | 9.2 | 65 | 1 | 1.5 |
| Total | 310 | 33 | 10.6 | 160 | 3 | 1.9 |

Table (3): Shows the occurrence of *Corona* virus in examined lambs and goat kids.

| Animals | Diarrhoeic (Diseased) | | | Non-diarrhoeic (Apparently healthy) | | |
|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| | No. of examined | No. of positive | % | No. of examined | No. of positive | % |
| Lambs | 190 | 3 | 1.6 | 95 | 0 | 0 |
| Goat kids | 120 | 1 | 0.8 | 65 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 310 | 4 | 1.3 | 160 | 0 | 0 |

Table (4): Shows the occurrence of *Rota* and *Corona* virus in examined children stool samples.

| Source of children samples | Total examined number | Rota virus | | Corona virus | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------|---|---|
| | | No. of positive | % | No. of positive | % | |
| Diarrhoeic | Shephreds | 25 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| | House-holds | 55 | 5 | 9.1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 80 | 9 | 11.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-diarrhoeic | Shephreds | 20 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | House-holds | 30 | 1 | 3.3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 50 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 |

Result of bacteriological examination:-

Table (5): Frequency distribution of isolated Enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETE C) and *Salmonellae* from examined lambs and goat kids.

| Animals | Total No. of examined | ETE coli | | <i>Salmonellae</i> | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------|------|--------------------|------|
| | | No. of positive | % | No. of positive | % |
| Diarrhoeic (diseased) | | | | | |
| Lambs | 190 | 56 | 29.5 | 29 | 15.3 |
| Goat kids | 120 | 26 | 21.7 | 13 | 10.8 |
| Total | 310 | 82 | 26.5 | 42 | 13.5 |
| Non diarrhoeic (Apparently healthy) | | | | | |
| Lambs | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Goat kids | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table (6): Frequency distribution of isolated *E. coli* and *Salmonellae* from examined children stool samples.

| Source of children samples | Total No. of examined | <i>E. coli</i> | | <i>Salmonellae</i> | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------|--------------------|-----|
| | | No. of positive | % | No. of positive | % |
| Diarrhoeic | | | | | |
| shephreds | 25 | 8 | 32 | 2 | 8 |
| House-holds | 55 | 13 | 23.6 | 2 | 3.6 |
| Total | 80 | 21 | 26.3 | 4 | 5 |
| Non-diarrhoeic | | | | | |
| shephreds | 20 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| House-holds | 30 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 50 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 |

Table (7): Serological identification of pathogenic *E. coli* isolated from examined children.

| Source of Isolates | Total No. of Isolates | O26 | | O55 | | O86a | | O111 | | O119 | | Untyped | |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----|------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|
| | | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| Shepherds | 11 | 3 | 27.3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9.1 | 2 | 18.2 | 2 | 18.2 | 3 | 27.3 |
| House-holds | 16 | 1 | 6.3 | 3 | 18.8 | 3 | 18.8 | 2 | 12.5 | 2 | 12.5 | 5 | 31.3 |
| Total | 27 | 4 | 14.8 | 3 | 11.1 | 4 | 14.8 | 4 | 14.8 | 4 | 14.8 | 8 | 29.6 |

Table (8) : Serological identification of Salmonellae isolated from examined lambs, goat kids and children.

| Source of isolates | Total No. of Isolates | Salmonella typhimurium | | Salmonella dublin | | Unclassified Salmonella | |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------|-------------------|------|-------------------------|------|
| | | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| Animals | | | | | | | |
| Lambs | 29 | 13 | 44.8 | 9 | 31 | 7 | 24 |
| Goat kids | 13 | 8 | 61.5 | 3 | 23.1 | 2 | 15.4 |
| Total | 42 | 21 | 50 | 12 | 82.6 | 9 | 21.4 |
| Children | 4 | 3 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 |

Table (9): Results of antibiotic sensitivity test for some *E. coli* and Salmonella strains isolated from examined animals and children.

| Antibiotic disc | Sensitive Strains to different antibiotics | | | |
|-------------------|--|------|--------------------------|------|
| | <i>E.coli</i> isolates (16) | | Salmonella isolates (18) | |
| | No. | % | No. | % |
| Enrofloxacin | 14 | 87.5 | 17 | 94.4 |
| Gentamicin | 14 | 87.5 | 17 | 94.4 |
| Amoxycillin | 12 | 75 | 15 | 83.3 |
| Chloramphenicol | 12 | 75 | 15 | 83.3 |
| Neomycin | 13 | 81.3 | 14 | 77.8 |
| Colistin Sulphate | 13 | 81.3 | 14 | 77.8 |

The mortality rate was 13.4% (42 out of 310), Photo 1, 2.

Postmortem examination of recently dead animals showed haemorrhagic enteritis, congestion of mes-

Table (10): Shows the occurrence of *Cryptosporidium parvium* in examined lambs and goat kids.

| Animals | Diarrhoeic (Diseased) | | | Non-diarrhoeic (Apparently healthy) | | |
|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------|------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| | No. of examined | No. of positive | % | No. of examined | No. of positive | % |
| Lambs | 190 | 32 | 16.8 | 95 | 3 | 3.2 |
| Goat kids | 120 | 15 | 12.5 | 65 | 2 | 3.1 |
| Total | 310 | 47 | 15.2 | 160 | 5 | 3.1 |

Table (11) : Shows the occurrence of *Cryptosporidium parvium* in examined children.

| Source of children samples | No. of examined | Cryptosporidium parvium oocysts | |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----|
| | | No. of positive | % |
| Diarrhoeic (diseased) | | | |
| Shephreds | 25 | 2 | 8 |
| House-holds | 55 | 2 | 3.6 |
| Total | 80 | 4 | 5 |
| Non-Diarrhoeic (Apparently healthy) | | | |
| Shephreds | 20 | 1 | 5 |
| House-holds | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 50 | 1 | 2 |

Table (12): Summarized results of virological, bacteriological and parasitological examinations of diarrhoeic (diseased) and non-diarrhoeic (apparently healthy) lambs and goat kids.

| Source of animal samples | Total examined number | Rota-viruses | | Corona-viruses | | ETEC | | Salmonella species | | Cryptosporidium parvium | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------|----------------|------------|-----------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| Lambs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diarrhoeic | 190 | 22 | 11.6 | 3 | 1.8 | 56 | 29.5 | 29 | 15.3 | 32 | 16.8 |
| Non-diarrhoeic | 95 | 2 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3.2 |
| Total | 285 | 24* | 8.4 | 3 | 1.1 | 56 | 19.6 | 29 | 10.2 | 35 | 12.3 |
| Goat kids | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diarrhoeic | 120 | 11 | 9.2 | 1 | 0.8 | 26 | 21.7 | 13 | 10.8 | 15 | 12.5 |
| Non-diarrhoeic | 65 | 1 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3.1 |
| Total | 185 | 12** | 6.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 26 | 14 | 13 | 7 | 17 | 9.2 |
| Total | 470 | 36 | 7.6 | 4 | 0.8 | 82 | 17.4 | 42 | 8.9 | 52 | 11.1 |

* Three were Rota mixed with corona viruses infection, 18 samples were Rota mixed with ETEC, Salmonella or cryptosporidium, other three were Rota only.

** Eight were Rota mixed with corona or ETEC; one was Rota mixed with Salmonella, two were Rota with Cryptosporidium and one was Rota only.

Table (13): Frequency distribution of enteric pathogens recovered from examined children.

| Source of children samples | Total examined number | Rota-viruses | | Corona-viruses | | ETEC | | Salmonella species | | Cryptosporidium parvium | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|----------|------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | | No. of +Ve | % | No. of +Ve | % | No. of +Ve | % | No. of +Ve | % | No. of +Ve | % |
| Shepherds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diarrhoeic | 25 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 32 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 8 |
| Non-Diarrhoeic | 20 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| Total | 45 | 5 | 11.1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 24.4 | 2 | 4.4 | 3 | 6.7 |
| Household | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diarrhoeic | 55 | 5 | 9.1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 23.6 | 2 | 3.6 | 2 | 3.6 |
| Non-Diarrhoeic | 30 | 1 | 3.3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 85 | 6 | 7.1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 18.8 | 2 | 2.4 | 2 | 2.4 |
| Total | 130 | 11* | 8.5 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 20.8 | 4 | 3.08 | 5 | 3.8 |

* Three were Rota virus alone; 7 were Rota virus mixed with E.coli, one was Rota virus mixed with E.coli and cryptosporidium parvium.




Photo (1): Dead lamb due to enteric pathogen



Photo (2): Diseased lamb.



Photo (3): Postmortem examination showed haemorrhagic enteritis.



Photo (4): *Cryptosporidium parvium* oocyst.

enteric lymph nodes and congestion and enlarged livers, Photo 3 .

Result of parasitological examination :-

Cryptosporidium parvium oocysts were detected in collected faecal samples from animals and children (Photo 4).

Treatment of the diseased cases with specific antibiotic (Gentamicin), in addition to fluid replacement gave good results.

DISCUSSION

Infectious diarrhea is a common condition affecting lambs and goat kids especially those are breed under intensive or semintensive systems of breeding. Most of the infectious agents that cause diarrhea of lambs and goat kids are transmissible to man. The aetiology and epidemiology of the diarrhea have been extensively studied in cattle and pigs, however, few studies have been performed to investigate the enteric pathogens that cause diarrhea in newborn lambs and goat kids .Also,, to discuss the zoonotic importance of these enteropathogens. In this study the potential role of Rota viruses, Corona viruses, E.coli, Salmonella and *Cryptosporidium* in the aetiology of diarrhea in lambs, goat kids and children was assessed by determination the occurrence of these enteropathogens in diarrhoeic and non-diarrhoeic animals and children, and by studying the zoonotic aspects of the isolated enteropathogens.

The results of occurrence of Rota virus in lambs

and goat kids are shown in table (2). The percentages of Rota virus in diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids were 11.6 and 9.2, whereas in non diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids were 2.1% and 1.5%, respectively. This results are supported by Abou El-Hassan (1996) who reported the prevalence of Rota viruses in diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids were 34.5% and 23.5%, whereas in apparently healthy lambs and goat were 12% and 8%, respectively. However, Munoz et al., (1996) found that Rota-viruses were detected in 2.1% and 21.6% of diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids, whereas, it was detected in 2.5 and 19.4% in non-diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids, respectively. Fassi-Fehri et al. (1988) found that the frequency of Rota virus in diarrhoeic lambs was 30%. Moreover, Kaminjolo and Adesiyun (1994) found that 48.6% and 28.6% of young lambs and goat kids were positive for Rota virus antigen using latex agglutination test.

It was evident from the results achieved in Table (3) that Corona viruses were detected in 1.6% and 0.8% of diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids, respectively. This results were in agreement with the results previously reported by Selim et al (1997) who detected Corona-viruses in the faeces of wild ruminant including sheep using anti-bovine Corona-virus antibodies.

Corona viruses were detected in several occasions in diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids (Nagy et al., 1983). Corona virus was not detected in non-

diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids. This may be due to a very low prevalence of infection in both species.

Regarding the occurrence of Rota and Corona viruses in children, Table (4) showed that Rota virus was detected in 11.3% of diarrhoeic children (16% of shepherds and 9.1% of their households), whereas, it was detected only in 4% of non-diarrhoeic children. This results were nearly similar to previously reported by Cobeljic et al., (1989); Kain et al., (1991) and Nieminska-Gromada and Szych (1998). On the other hand, Corona-virus was not detected in any examined faecal samples of children using anti-bovine Corona-virus antibodies. This may be due to either a very low prevalence of infection in children in the study areas or that human Corona viruses are not antigenically related to bovine Corona viruses

From the results achieved in Table (2,3,4), one could conclude that Rota-viruses are frequently detected in diarrhoeic lambs, goat kids and children, suggesting their significance role in the disease. On the other hand, from the zoonotic point of view, the higher occurrence (16%) of Rota-virus in diarrhoeic shepherds, this suggests the roles of sheep and goats as a potential reservoir of human infection with Rota-viruses .

The presence of Rota-virus in apparently healthy animals and children may play an important role as a reservoir and source for another animals and

human cases. Furthermore, the detection of Corona virus in only diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids, reflecting the role of Corona-virus in diarrheal illness in these animals.

The frequency distribution of isolated ETE coli and Salmonella from lambs and goat kids are summarized in Table (5). ETEC were isolated from 29.5% and 21.7% of diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids respectively. Almost similar results (30% and 22%) was previously recorded in diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids by Munoz et al., 1996.

Moreover, El-Gemiey (1999) isolated *E. coli* from 30% of newly-born diarrhoeic lambs. Ali (2001) found that 36% and 30% of diarrhoeic lambs and goat were positive for *E. coli*. *E. coli* with at least one virulence factor were previously isolated from diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids (Munoz et al., 1996). Fassi -Fehri et al., (1988) found that the frequency of *E. coli* K99 antigen in diarrhoeic lambs was 30% .

It was evident from the results achieved in Table (5) that Salmonella was isolated from 15.3% and 10.8% of diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids, respectively. The isolation of Salmonella from diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids, was previously reported by Adesiyun et.al.(1993);El-Gemiey (1999) and Abou-Zaid et al. (2000). On the other hand, ETEC and Salmonella were not isolated from apparently healthy lambs and goat kids.

These results were similar to results previously recorded by Abou-El-Hassan (1996).

Regarding children, Table (6) showed that *E. coli* was isolated from 26.3 and 12% of diarrhoeic and non-diarrhoeic children. Nearly similar results were previously reported by Mikhail et al. (1989); Kain et al. (1991) and Nieminska-Gromada and Szych (1998). Moreover, salmonellae were isolated from 5% of diarrhoeic children and not detected in any examined faecal sample of apparently healthy children. Nearly similar results were previously cited by Zaki et al. (1986); Cobeljic et al. (1996); Nieminska-Gromada and Szych (1998) and Wasfy et al. (2000).

It is evident from the results achieved in Table (7) that 27 *E. coli* strains isolated from children were belonged to O26; O55; O86a; O111 and O119 serotypes. Akinterinwa and Paul (1981) isolated 10 pathogenic *E. coli* from 84 infants and they identified into O55; O86; O128; O44 and O124. Moreover, Cobeljic et al. (1989) found that O111; O119; O114; O55; O18; O86 ; O128; O127 and O142 were the most identified serogroups of *E. coli* isolated from diarrhoeic and non-diarrhoeic children.

Serotyping of *Salmonellae* isolated from animals and children are shown in Table (8). Forty two *Salmonella* strains isolated from animals were belonged to *S. typhimurium* (21); *S. dublin* (12) and *unclassified Salmonellae* (9). However, four *Sal-*

monella strains recovered from human were belonged to *S. typhimurium* (3) and *unclassified Salmonellae* (1). These results were in agreement with Smith and Sherman (1994) and Abou - Zaid et al. (2000) who reported that *Salmonella typhimurium* was the predominant strains recovered from diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids. Kain et al. (1991) found that *Salmonella typhimurium* constituted 33.3% of *Salmonellae* strains recovered from children. However, Graham et al. (2000) found that *Salmonella typhimurium* was predominant (79%) strains recovered from children .

Results of antibiotic sensitivity of *E. coli* and *Salmonella* strains recovered from animals and children are summarized in Table (9). Most tested *E. coli* and *Salmonella* strains were highly sensitive to Enrofloxacin and Gentamicin (87.5 – 94.4%), whereas their sensitivity to Neomycin, Chloramphenicol, Amoxicillin and colistin sulphate were varied from 75% to 83.3%. These results were nearly similar to the results previously recorded by Ali (2001). However Cobeljic et al. (1996) found that O4-*E. Coli* strains were resistant to chloramphenicol, ampicillin, cephalosporin, kanamycin and tetracycline. Treated animals with antibiotic (Gentamicin) and fluid therapy were improved. This may be due to the treatment was done according to the result of sensitivity test. maintenance the circulatory volume and corrected electrolyte imbalance.

From the obtained results, we could conclude

that ETEC seems to be the predominant enteropathogens which plays the major role among diarrhoeic lambs, goat kids and children. Moreover, *Salmonella* and *E.coli* are frequently isolated from diarrhoeic lambs, goat kids and children suggests their significance role in the aetiology of the disease. The higher isolation rate of these enteropathogens in shepherds indicates the potential role played by sheep and goats for transmission these agents to man.

Concerning the occurrence of *Cryptosporidium parvium* in lambs and goat kids, Table (10) showed that *C. parvium* was detected in 15.2% of diarrhoeic animals (16.8% of lambs and 12.5% of goat kids) whereas only 3.1% of apparently healthy animals were shedding *C. parvium* oocysts in their faeces. Nearly similar results were previously cited by Kaminjolo et al. (1993) and Selim (1995). Higher incidences (68.3, 48.3 and 30%) of *Cryptosporidium* infection in lambs using three staining techniques were previously cited by Abd El-Wahed (1999).

Regarding children, Table (11) showed that *C. parvium* was detected in 5% of diarrhoeic children (8% of shepherds and 3.6% of their household). On the other hand *C. parvium* was detected in a faecal sample (2%) of an apparently healthy children. Almost similar results were previously cited by Amin and El-Ahraf (1988) ; Plotnikova et al. (1990) ; Krause et. al.(1995) and Mahdi and Ali (2002).

From the obtained results, we could conclude that *Cryptosporidium parvium* was frequently detected in diarrhoeic lambs, goat kids and children suggests its significant role which may play as an aetiological agent of diarrhea in these young animals and children. Moreover, its presence in apparently healthy animals and children represents a risk hazard for young animals and man. On the other hand, higher occurrence in shepherds may be reflect the potential role which might be played by lambs and goat kids for transmission of *Cryptosporidium* to shepherds.

It is evident that from the results summarized in Table (12) that the percentages of *Rota*-viruses, *Corona* viruses, ETEC, *Salmonella* and *Cryptosporidium* in diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids were higher than in apparently healthy lambs and goat kids and they were slightly high in lambs than in goat kids. On the other hand, concurrent infections were observed in both lambs and goat kids in this study especially ETE coli with *Rota* virus or *Cryptosporidium*. Lambs and goat kids are at high exposure risk to ETE coli, *Rota* virus or *Salmonella* species, starting immediately after birth and the direct and indirect contact with adult sheep and goats which frequently had asymptomatic infections must be considered as a possible initiating cause (Snodgrass and Angus, 1983; Kaminjolo and Adesiyun, 1994). Moreover, ETE coli and *Cryptosporidium* were the most frequently detected enteropathogen in diarrhoeic

lambs and goat kids, explaining their principle role in the disease .

It is evident from the results summarized in Table (13) that the percentages of *Rota*, *E. coli*, *Salmonella* and *Cryptosporidium* were higher in diarrhoeic children than apparently healthy, this suggests their role in diarrhea and enteritis. *E. coli* and *Rota* virus were most frequently enteric pathogenic detected in diarrhoeic children. Moreover, concurrent infections of *E. coli* with *Rota* virus were recorded in this study. Moreover, the occurrence of *Rota* virus, *E. coli*, *Salmonella* and *Cryptosporidium* in shepherds higher than household children may be indicate the role of sheep and goat as a potential reservoir of such zoonotic agents.

It could be concluded that *Rota* viruses, *Corona* viruses, ETE coli, *Salmonella* and *Cryptosporidium* were frequently isolated in diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids. Single or concurrent infections were observed in both species. These enteropathogens had a significant role in morbidity and mortality of these young animals which lead to economic loss of herd capital. ETE coli and *Cryptosporidium* were most frequently detected enteropathogens. Regarding children, *Rota* virus, *E. coli*, *Salmonella* and *Cryptosporidium* were frequently isolated from diarrhoeic children. *Rota* virus and *E. coli* were most frequent detected pathogens. Mixed infection with these pathogens were observed. Shepherds showed higher occur-

rence of most of these enteropathogens, reflecting the role of lambs and goat kids in transmission such zoonoses. So, it is advisable to monitor the prevalence of these enteropathogens in diarrhoeic and non diarrhoeic animals and their shepherds, to determine the most isolated organism and serotypes overtime and locality. Vaccination of the pregnant dams can reduce the risk of infection to their offspring and reduce the severity of diarrhea. Reducing of faecal shedding of these enteropathogens may reduce the risk of hazard to animals and human. Shepherds and animal breeders must be aware of the disease in sheep and goats in order to avoid great losses and to prevent its transmission to human.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Dr .M.H.Ahmed and all staff members of the Dept. of Virology and Dept. of Bacteriology, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki Giza for their help and support during the performance of this work.

REFERENCES

- Abd -El-Wahed, M.M. (1999): *Cryptosporidium* infection among sheep in Qalubia Governorate, Egypt. *J. Egypt. Soc. Parasitol.* 29(1): 113-118.
- Abou El-Hassan, D.G. (1996): Neonatal diarrhea in lambs and goat kids. 4th Sci. Cong. Proc. *Vet. Med. J. Giza* 44 (2): 371-380.

- Abou-Zaid, A.; Eisa, M.I. and Diab, R.A. (2000): Bacterial causes of enteritis in lambs and goat kids. *Vet. Med. J. Giza*, 48(3): 369-379.
- Acha, P. and Szyfer, B. (1991): *Zoonoses and Communicable Disease common to man and Animals* 2nd edition Pan.American Health Organization, WHO. Washington, D.C. 20037 USA.
- Adesiyun, A.A.; Kaminjolo, J.S.; Loreegnard, R. and Kitson-Piggatt, W. (1993): Epidemiology of Salmonella infections in livestock farms. *Revue Elevage et de Medicine, Veterinaire des pays Tropicaux*, 46(3): 435-437.
- Akinterinwa, M.O. and Paul, M.O. (1981): Bacteriological investigations of infantile gastroenteritis in Ife, Nigeria, *J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 85: 139-141.
- Ali, A. R. (2001): Comparative studies on diarrhea caused by *E. coli* in farm animals. *J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Ass.* 61 (6C): 39-49.
- Amin, M. and El-Ahraf, A. (1988): Cryptosporidiosis in man and animals in contact Zagazig *Vet. J.* 16(1): 176-186.
- Anderson, B.C. (1981): Patterns of shedding of cryptosporidial oocysts in Idaho calves. *JAVMA*, 178: 982-984.
- Brandt, C.D.; Arndt, C.W.; Evans, G.L.; Kim, H.W.; Stallings, E.P.; Rodriguez, W.J. and Parrott, R.H. (1987): Evaluation of a latex test for rotavirus detection. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 25(9): 1800-1802.
- Causape, A.C.; Quilez, J.; Sanchez-Acedo, C.; del-Cacho, E. and Lopez-Bernad, F. (2003): Prevalence and analysis of potential risk factors for *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection in lambs in Zaragoza (northern Spain). *Vet. Parasitol.* 104 (4): 287-298.
- Cobeljic, M.; Mel, D.; arsic, B.; Krstic, L.; Sokolovski, B.; Nikolovski, B.; Sopovski, E.; Kulauzov, M. and Kalenic, S. (1989): The association of enterotoxigenic and enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* and other enteric pathogens with childhood diarrhea in Yugoslavia. *Epidem. Infect.* 103, 53-62.
- Cobeljic, M.; Miljkovic, Selimovic, B.; Paunovic-Todosijevic, D.; Velickovic, Z.; Lepsanovic, Z.; Zec, N.; Savic, D.; Ilic, R.; Konstantinovic, S.; Jovanovic, B. and Kostic, V. (1996): Enteraggregative *Escherichia coli* associated with an outbreak of diarrhea in a neonatal nursery ward. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 117, 11- 16.
- Cruickshank, R.; Duguid, J.P.; Marmoin, B.P. and Swain, R.H. (1982): *Medical Microbiology*, 12th Ed., Churnill, Livingstone, London, UK.
- Current, W.L. (1985): Cryptosporidiosis. *J. American Veterinary Med. Association*, 187(12): 1334- 1338.
- El-Gemiey, S.R. (1999): Bacteriological examination of diarrhea in newly born lambs. *Zag. Vet. J.* 27(3): 28-31.
- Fassi-Fehri, M.M.; Johnson, D.W.; Taoudi, A. and Berrada, J. (1988): Epidemiology of diarrhea caused by *Escherichia coli* and rotavirus in calves and lambs in Morocco. *Ann Rech. Vet. Morocco.* 19(1): 59- 64.
- Graham, S.M.; Walsh, A.L.; Molyneux, E.M.; Phiri, A.J. and Molyneux, M.E. (2000): Clinical presentation of non-typhoidal *Salmonella* bacteremia in Malawian children. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop Med. Hyg.* 94(3): 310-314.
- Haikala, O.J.; Kokkonen, J.O.; Leinonen, M.K.; Nurmi, T.; Mantyljarvi, R. and Sarkkinen, H.K. (1983): Rapid detection of rotavirus in stool by latex agglutination. Comparison with immuno-assay and electron microscopy and clinical evaluation of test. *J. of Medical Virology* 11: 9197.
- Kain, K.C.; Barteluk, R.L.; Kelly, M.T.; Xin, HE.; Hua, G.D.; Yuan, G.E.; Proctor, E.M.; Byrne, S. and Stiver,

- H.G. (1991): Etiology of childhood diarrhea in Beijing, China. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 29(1): 90-85.
- Kaminjolo, J. S. and Adesiyun, A.A. (1994): Rotavirus infection in calves, piglets, lambs and goat kids in Trinidad. *Br. Vet. J.* 150(3): 293- 299.
- Kaminjolo, J.S.; Adesiyun, A.A.; Loregnard, R. and Kitson-Piggott, W. (1993): Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in livestock in Trinidad and Tobago. *Vet. Parasitol.* 45(3-4):209-213.
- Kauffmann, F. (1972): Serological diagnosis of *Salmonella* species, Kauffmann- white scheme, Munksgard, Copenhagen, Denmark .
- Koneman, E.W.; Steven, D.A.; Dowell, V.R.; William, M.G.; Herber, M.S. and Washington, C.W. (1992): *Diagnostic Microbiology*, 4th ed. J.B. Lippincott Co. Philadelphia, USA.
- Krause, W.; Abraham, A. and Lehmann, D. (1995): Evidence of *Cryptosporidium* in children with symptomatic enteritis from the Leipzig administrative area 1987 – 1992. *Appl. Parasitol.* 36(1): 66- 71.
- Mahdi, N.K. and Ali, N.H. (2002): Cryptosporidiosis among animal handlers and their livestock in Basrah, Iraq. *East Afr. Med. J.* 79(10): 550 -553.
- Mata, L.; Simhon, A.; Padilia, R.; Gamboa, M.; Vargas, G.; Hernandez, F.; Mohs, E. and Lizano, C. (1983): Diarrhea associated with Rotaviruses, enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*, *Campylobacter*, and other agents in Costa-Rican Children, 1976 – 1981. *Am. J. Trop Med. Hyg.*, 32(1): 146 -153.
- Mikhail, I. A.; Hyam, K.C.; Podgore, J.K.; Haberberger, R.L.; Boghdadi, A.M.; Mansour, N.S. and Woody, J.N. (1989): Microbiologic and clinical study of acute diarrhea in children in Aswan, Egypt. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.*, 21(1): 59 – 65.
- Muñoz, M.; Alvarez, M.; Lanza, A.I. and Carmenes, P. (1996): Role of enteric pathogens in the aetiology of neonatal diarrhea in lambs and goat kids in Spain. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 117, 203-211.
- Nagy, B.; Nagy, G.; Palfi, V. and Bozso, M. (1983): Occurrence of *Cryptosporidia*, Rota viruses, corona virus-like particles and K99+ *Escherichia coli* in goat kids and lambs. Proceedings of the third international symposium of the World Association of Veterinary Laboratory Diagnosticians. Ames, Iowa, USA., pp. 525 – 531.
- Nagy, B.; Janko, M. and Molnar, P. (1989): *Cryptosporidium* shedding among diarrheal calves and goat kids as well as their caretakers : *Magy. Ao. Lapja*, 44, 389 – 391.
- Nieminska-Gromada, B. and Szych, J. (1998): The role of Rotaviruses in digestive tract infections of hospitalized children with diarrhea at the Health Care Consortium in Sokol Podlaski. *Med. Dosw Mikrobiol.* 50(1-2): 77 -87.
- O'Dongohue, P. (1995): *Cryptosporidium* and cryptosporidiosis in man and animals. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 25, 159 - 165.
- Plotnikova, A.V.; Andreev, A.I. and Lysenko, Ala. (1990): The first cases of human cryptosporidiosis in Moscow. *Med. Parazitol (Mosk)*, (3): 58 -60.
- Reese, R.; Current, W.; Ernst, J. and Bailey, W. (1982): Cryptosporidiosis of man and calf : A case report and results of experimental infection in mice and rats. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 31 (2): 226- 229.
- Sato, K.; Inaba, Y.; Kurogi, H.; Takahashi, E.; Satoda, K.; Mori, T. and Matsumoto, M. (1977): Haemagglutination by calf diarrhea corona-virus. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2, 83 – 87.

- Sato, K.; Inaba, Y.; Tokuhama, S. (1984): Detection of bovine corona-virus in faeces by reversed passive hemoagglutination. *Arch. Virol.* 80 : 23 -31.
- Selim, A.M. (1995): Prevalence of cryptosporidiosis in animals and human beings in contact in Sharkia province. *Alex. J. Vet. Science*, 11(4): 527 – 533.
- Selim, A.M.; Eisa, M.I. and Youssef, N.M. (1997): Diarrhoea in wild ruminant due to coronaviruses infection. *Alex. J. Vet. Science*, 13(2): 9 -12.
- Simhon, A. and Mata, L. (1985): Fecal rotaviruses, adeoviruses, coronavirus-like particles, and small round viruses in a cohort of rural costa-Rican children. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 34(5): 931 – 936.
- Smith, M.C. and Sherman, D.N. (1994): *Goat Medicine*. Lea & Febiger, Awaverly company, USA.
- Snodgrass and Angus, K.W. (1983): *Diseases of sheep* First ed. Blackwell Scientific Publication, London pp. 43 – 48.
- Wasfy, M.O.; Gyofu, B.A.; DoNid, J.C.; Ismail, T.F.; el-Gendy, A.M.; Mohran, Z.S.; Sultan, Y. and Peruski, L.F. Jr. (2000): Isolation and antibiotics susceptibility of Salmonella, Shigella and Campylobacter from acute enteric infection in Egypt. *J. Health Popul. Nutr.* 18(1): 33 – 38.
- Zaki, A.M.; Dupont, H.L.; El-Alamy, M. A.; Arafat, R.R. and Amin, K.; Awad, M.M.; Bassiouni, L.; Imam, I.Z.; El-Malih, G.S.; El-Marsafie, A.; Mohieldin, M.S.; Naguib, T.; Rakha, M.A.; Sidaros, M.; Wasef, N.; Wright, C. E. and Wyall, R.G. (1986): The detection of enteropathogens in acute diarrhea in a family cohort population in rural Egypt. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Agy.*, 35 (5): 1013-1022.